

Huntingdonshire New Developments 2007

A Survey of Residents

by

Cambridgeshire County Council

Research Group

in partnership with

Huntingdonshire District Council



Map is © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Cambridgeshire County Council, 100023205, 2008.

Huntingdonshire New Developments 2007

A Survey of Residents

by

Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group

in partnership with

Huntingdonshire District Council

Preface

During the summer of 2007, 1710 households, across eight new developments in Huntingdonshire, were surveyed by Huntingdonshire District Council. The aim of the survey was to learn about living in new housing developments in Huntingdonshire, in order to learn lessons and plan services more effectively in future. This report, prepared by Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group, presents the findings from the survey.

For more information about the survey, please contact:

Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group:
research.group@cambridgeshire.gov.uk or 01223 715300

Or

Huntingdonshire District Council:
steve.plant@huntsdc.gov.uk or 01480 388240

Contents

Preface.....	1
Contents.....	2
Executive Summary	5
Figure A: Age Structure of Residents	7
Introduction	9
Table A: Response Rate by Development.....	9
<i>PART A: PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS</i>	11
Section 1: Your Previous Home.....	11
Figure 1a: Distance Travelled from Previous Home.....	11
Figure 1b: Location of Previous Home	11
Figure 1c: Tenure Category of Previous Home	12
Figure 1d: Reasons for Leaving Previous Home	12
Section 2: Your Current Home.....	13
Figure 2a: Tenure Category of Current Home by Development.....	13
Figure 2b: First Occupiers of Current Home	14
Figure 2c: Property Type of Current Home by Development.....	14
Figure 2d: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development	15
Figure 2e: Average Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development	15
Figure 2f: Reasons for Choosing Current Home.....	16
Figure 2g: Intended Length of Stay in Current Home	16
Section 3: Your Household	17
Figure A: Age Structure of Residents	17
Figure 3a: Age Groups of Household Members by Development.....	18
Figure 3b: Average Household Size by Development	18
Figure 3c: Household Composition by Development.....	19
Figure 3d: Ethnic Origins of Household Members by Development.....	20
Section 4: Your Work, Study and Travel.....	21
Figure 4a: Employment Circumstances of Household Members.....	21
Figure 4b: Industries of Employment.....	22
Figure 4c: Occupations of Employment.....	22
Figure 4d: Methods of Travel to Work or Study.....	23
Figure 4e: Distances Travelled to Work or Study.....	23
Figure 4f: Vehicle Number of Household by Development	24
Figure 4g: Average Vehicle Number of Household by Development	24
Section 5: Your Other Activities and Opinions	25
Figure 5a: Food Shopping	25
Figure 5b: Non-food Shopping	26
Figure 5c: Best Things	27
Figure 5d: Worst Things	27
Summary	28

<i>PART B: DETAILED RESULTS</i>	29
Section 1: Your Previous Home.....	29
Table 1.1: Distance Travelled from Previous Home by Development	29
Table 1.2: Location of Previous Home by Development.....	30
Table 1.3: Tenure Category of Previous Home by Development	31
Table 1.4: Reasons for Leaving Previous Home by Development	32
Section 2: Your Current Home.....	33
Table 2.1: Tenure Category of Current Home by Development.....	33
Table 2.2: Tenure Category of Current Home by Previous Tenure Category	34
Table 2.3: First Occupiers of Current Home by Development	35
Table 2.4: Property Type of Current Home by Development.....	36
Table 2.5: Property Type of Current Home by Current Tenure Category	36
Table 2.6: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development.....	37
Table 2.7: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Current Tenure Category	38
Table 2.8: Reasons for Choosing Current Home by Development.....	39
Table 2.9: Intended Length of Stay in Current Home by Development	40
Section 3: Your Household	41
Table 3.1: Age Groups of Household Members by Development.....	41
Table 3.2: Household Size by Development.....	43
Table 3.3: Household Size by Bedroom Number	44
Table 3.4: Household Size by Current Tenure Category	45
Table 3.5: Average Household Size by Bedroom Number and Current Tenure Category	46
Table 3.6: Household Composition by Development	47
Table 3.7: Household Composition by Bedroom Number.....	48
Table 3.8: Household Composition by Current Tenure Category	49
Table 3.9: Religious Faith of Household by Development.....	50
Table 3.10: First Language of Household by Development	51
Table 3.11: Ethnic Origins of Household Members by Development.....	52
Section 4: Your Work, Study and Travel.....	53
Table 4.1: Employment Circumstances of Household Members by Development	53
Table 4.2: Industries of Employment by Development	54
Table 4.3: Occupations of Employment by Development	55
Table 4.4: Methods of Travel to Work or Study by Development	56
Table 4.5: Distances Travelled to Work or Study by Development	57
Table 4.6: Vehicle Number of Household by Development.....	58
Table 4.7: Vehicle Number of Household by Bedroom Number	59
Table 4.8: Vehicle Number of Household by Current Tenure Category	59
Section 5: Your Other Activities and Opinions	60
Table 5.1: Food Shopping by Development.....	60
Table 5.2: Non-food Shopping by Development	61
Table 5.3: Best Things by Development.....	63
Table 5.4: Worst Things by Development	64
Appendix A: New Development Survey 2007 Questionnaire	65

Executive Summary

During the summer of 2007, 1710 households, across eight new developments in Huntingdonshire, were surveyed by Huntingdonshire District Council. Overall, 702 households responded to the survey, a 41% response rate. The Huntingdonshire New Developments survey asked residents about their previous home, their current home, their household and their work, study and travel. The questionnaire also asked residents for their opinions on the best and worst things about living in the area. Completed surveys were sent to Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group for analysis. A similar survey of Cambourne residents was conducted by Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group in 2006.

With 612 households at the time of the survey, and a response rate of 44%, Co-op Farm in Yaxley was the largest development surveyed. Co-op Farm was also the development with the highest percentage of owner-occupied properties, the highest percentage of detached properties, and the highest average number of bedrooms per property. The best things about living in the Co-op Farm area were the road links and the peace and quiet, and the worst things were the lack of services and the levels of crime and criminal damage.

With 552 households and a response rate of 43%, Barford Road in Eynesbury was the second largest development surveyed. The best things about living in the Barford Road area were the green spaces and the road links, and the worst things were the lack of parking spaces and the levels of anti-social and 'youth' behaviour.

The third largest development surveyed was Kings Ripton Road in Huntingdon. Kings Ripton Road was the development with the highest percentage of properties rented from a social landlord, and the highest percentage of adults seeking work. The best things about living in the Kings Ripton Road area were the shops and services and the peace and quiet, and the worst things were the busy roads and the problems with bins and litter.

The fourth largest development surveyed was Headlands in Fenstanton. Headlands was the development with the highest average number of people per household, the highest average number of vehicles per household, and the highest percentage of households composed of families with dependent children. The best things about living in the Headlands area were the good location and the green spaces, and the worst things were the busy roads and the unfinished development.

With 90 households at the time of the survey, Mill Lane in Little Paxton was the next largest development surveyed. However, with only 18 forms returned, Mill Lane's response rate of 20% was the lowest of the eight developments. According to the results of the survey, Mill Lane in Little Paxton was the development with the highest percentage of properties rented from a private landlord, and the highest percentage of households composed of one person aged under 60. It was also the development with the highest percentage of households intending to stay in the property for less than 6 months. The best things about living in the Mill Lane area were the green spaces and the road links, and the worst things were the location and the lack of parking spaces.

With 60 households and a response rate of 50%, the highest of the eight developments, Roman Way in Godmanchester was the third smallest development surveyed. Roman Way was also the development with the highest percentage of semi-detached properties, and the highest percentage of adults in employment. The best things about living in the Roman Way area were the green spaces and the road links, and the worst things were the busy roads and the levels of anti-social and 'youth' behaviour.

The second smallest development surveyed was Bury Road in Ramsey. Bury Road was the development with the highest percentage of terraced properties, and the highest percentage of households composed of three or more adults. It was also the development with the highest percentage of households intending to stay in the property for more than 10 years. The best things about living in the Bury Road area were the peace and quiet and the lack of crime, and the worst things were the poor range of shops and the lack of activities and entertainment.

With 44 households and a response rate of 39%, Pig Lane in St Ives was the smallest development surveyed. Pig Lane was also the development with the highest percentage of flats, the lowest average number of bedrooms per property, and the lowest average number of people per household. It was also the development with the lowest percentage of children, the lowest percentage of adults in employment, and the highest percentage of adults over 60. The best things about living in the Pig Lane area were the green spaces and the proximity to friends and family, and the worst things were the busy roads and the poor range of shops.


One in four households had moved to their new home from less than 2km away and altogether around half of households had moved from within Huntingdonshire. A further quarter of households had moved from more than 60km away. More than half the households chose their new home because they liked the design or appearance of the property or development. 58% of households were intending to remain in their new home for a further three years or more, with just 11% intending to stay less than one year.

Overall, across all eight developments, the proportion of owner-occupied properties was 81%, which was higher than the Cambourne Survey figure of 72% and the Census 2001 figure for Huntingdonshire of 76%. 16% of households surveyed had moved into owner occupation from another tenure, the majority of which had previously been renting privately or living with family or friends. At 11%, the proportion of properties rented from a social landlord was below the Cambourne Survey figure of 15% and the Census 2001 figure of 13%. The proportion of properties rented from a private landlord (6%) was also below both the Cambourne figure (9%) and the Census figure (11%). However, at 2%, the proportion of intermediate tenure properties (shared ownership) was above the Census figure for Huntingdonshire of 0.4%, but below the Cambourne figure of 4%.

The average number of bedrooms per property was 3.32 overall, ranging from 1.53 in Pig Lane, St Ives, to 3.75 in Co-op Farm, Yaxley. This was slightly higher than the average of 3.13 found in Cambourne. The average number of people per household across all eight developments was 2.52, above the Census 2001 figure for Huntingdonshire of 2.46, but slightly below the figure of 2.55 from the Cambourne Survey.

Figure A compares the age structure of the residents of the new developments with the age structure of all Huntingdonshire residents from the 2001 Census. A higher proportion of residents of the new developments were aged 0 to 4 than across Huntingdonshire overall, and a higher proportion were aged 25 to 44. A slightly lower proportion were of school age and a markedly lower proportion were aged over 45.

Figure A: Age Structure of Residents



75% of adults of working age were employed, which was similar to the Huntingdonshire average of 72.5%. A higher proportion worked as managers or had a professional occupation than found across Huntingdonshire overall. 69% of adults travelled to work or their place of study alone by car. One in five adults travelled between 5km and 10km to work or study. While just 7% of all households had no vehicle, the proportion was 48% among those living in social rented accommodation.

Overall, the survey has demonstrated that there are clear differences between the eight developments surveyed, which can be linked to their location, their dwelling and tenure mix and the different profiles of residents they therefore attract. This illustrates that no two new developments are the same, and that it is important to give adequate consideration to the likely nature of a new development when planning services.

Introduction

During the summer of 2007, 1710 households, across eight new developments in Huntingdonshire, were surveyed by Huntingdonshire District Council. This report, prepared by Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group, presents the findings from the survey.

The eight developments were chosen with the aim of surveying a good dispersal of sites in different areas of the district. The locations of the eight developments are shown on the map on the cover of this report. Questionnaires, with a requested return date of 7th May, were posted to all properties, and 396 forms were returned, giving a 23% response rate. Following a reminder letter with a requested return date of 6th October, 306 further forms were returned. All forms were sent to Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group for analysis.

Overall, 702 households, representing more than 1738 residents, responded to the survey, giving a 41% response rate. This is the same response rate as was achieved by the 2006 Cambourne Survey¹ and is considered a good response to a postal survey. Table A shows the response rates across the eight developments, together with the numbers of households surveyed and forms returned. Response rates varied by development; the highest response rates were from the Roman Way development in Godmanchester, and Headlands in Fenstanton. The lowest response rate was from Mill Lane in Little Paxton; the findings from this development should be interpreted with less confidence than the other developments.

Table A: Response Rate by Development

Development	Households Surveyed	Forms Returned	Response Rate
Barford Road, Eynesbury	552	238	43%
Bury Road, Ramsey	53	24	45%
Co-op Farm, Yaxley	612	268	44%
Headlands, Fenstanton	105	51	49%
Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	194	56	29%
Mill Lane, Little Paxton	90	18	20%
Pig Lane, St Ives	44	17	39%
Roman Way, Godmanchester	60	30	50%
All Developments	1710	702	41%

The aim of the survey was to learn about living in new housing developments in Huntingdonshire, in order to learn lessons and plan services more effectively in future. A similar survey of Cambourne residents was conducted by Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group in 2006¹. A copy of the questionnaire used for the Huntingdonshire survey is contained in Appendix A.

The first part of this report presents the overall findings of the survey. The second part presents detailed data tables, allowing the user to examine the results for individual developments in more depth.

¹ Living in Cambourne, A Survey of Cambourne Residents, July 2006, Cambridgeshire County Council, <http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/council/involved/findings/cambourneresidentsurvey2006results.htm>

PART A: PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

Section 1: Your Previous Home

The first section of the questionnaire asked residents for the location of their last permanent residence, the tenure category of their last property, and the main reasons for wanting to move from their previous home.

Overall, across all eight developments, 25% of households travelled less than 2km from their previous property (Figure 1a – also see Table 1.1). 46% of households moved within Huntingdonshire and 29% moved from other parts of Cambridgeshire, Peterborough and surrounding counties (Figure 1b – also see Table 1.2). In contrast, just 33% of Cambourne residents had moved from within South Cambridgeshire.

Figure 1a: Distance Travelled from Previous Home






Figure 1b: Location of Previous Home




71% of previous properties were owner-occupied and 14% were rented from a private landlord (Figure 1c – also see Table 1.3).

Figure 1c: Tenure Category of Previous Home



41% of households moved from their previous property to find a larger or smaller home (Figure 1d – also see Table 1.4). 19% moved to be nearer their job. 18% of households were unhappy with aspects of their previous home or location and 18% moved to be nearer family and friends.

Figure 1d: Reasons for Leaving Previous Home



Section 2: Your Current Home

The second section of the questionnaire asked residents for the tenure category of their current property, the size of the property, the length of time they intended to stay at the property, and the main reasons for choosing to move to their current location. Residents were also asked if they were the first people to live in the property.

Ranging from 89% in Co-op Farm to 56% in Mill Lane, 81% of current properties were owner-occupied overall. 11% were rented from a social landlord, 6% were rented from a private landlord, and overall 2% were of intermediate tenure (Figure 2a – also see Table 2.1). 16% of households surveyed had moved into owner occupation or intermediate tenure with their new home, the majority having previously rented privately or lived with parents/family. Numbers moving from owner occupation/intermediate tenure to other tenure categories were very low.

81% is ten percentage points higher than the percentage of residents stating that their previous property was owner-occupied, suggesting that the new developments are attractive locations for first-time buyers.

Two thirds of households were the first occupiers of their current property (Figure 2b – also see Table 2.3).

Figure 2a: Tenure Category of Current Home by Development






Figure 2b: First Occupiers of Current Home



Overall, 50% of current properties were detached, 23% were semi-detached, 16% were terraced and 12% were flats, apartments or maisonettes (Figure 2c – also see Table 2.4). Proportions varied markedly across the different developments. 80% of homes at Co-op Farm in Yaxley were detached, while all homes at Pig Lane in St Ives were flats. Mill Lane in Little Paxton and Roman Way in Godmanchester also contained low proportions of detached homes, the former dominated by flats and the latter by semi-detached properties. The remaining sites contained a broader mix of property types.

Figure 2c: Property Type of Current Home by Development



51% of current properties were four, five or six bedroom, 27% were three bedroom, 16% were two bedroom and 6% were one bedroom properties (Figure 2d – also see Table 2.6). The average number of bedrooms per property was 3.32 overall, ranging from 1.53 in Pig Lane to 3.75 in Co-op Farm (Figure 2e). Unsurprisingly, average bedroom numbers were highest in developments with high proportions of detached homes, and lowest in those with a high proportion of flats.

Figure 2d: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development






Figure 2e: Average Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development




53% of households moved to their current property because they liked the design or appearance of the property or development (Figure 2f – also see Table 2.8). 23% of households liked the price compared to other developments and 22% moved to be nearer to family and friends.

Figure 2f: Reasons for Choosing Current Home



23% of households were intending to stay at their current property for between 5 and 10 years from now (Figure 2g – also see Table 2.9). Just 6% were intending to stay less than 6 months and 17% were intending to stay more than 10 years. Overall almost 60% of households intended to stay in their current home for 3 years or more, demonstrating that a high proportion of people view their new home as a place to settle. The comparable proportion in Cambourne was 47%.

Figure 2g: Intended Length of Stay in Current Home



Section 3: Your Household

The third section of the questionnaire asked residents for the age group and ethnic origin of each person living in the property, as well as the religious faith and first language of the people in the household.

Overall, 24% of residents were children aged 0-16, 16% were adults aged 17-29, 34% were adults aged 30-44, 15% were aged 45-59 and 11% were aged 60+ (Figure 3a – also see Table 3.1). Overall, the sites surveyed had a lower proportion of children than found in Cambourne, where 30% of residents were aged 16 and under. The proportions aged 30-44 were similar (the proportion in Cambourne was 34%). The Cambourne Survey showed that just 7% of residents were aged 60 and above. Given that the developments across Huntingdonshire have, overall, a more mixed dwelling profile, it is not surprising that the age structure is slightly less biased towards young families.

The proportion of the population aged 0-4 was 10.9%, above the Census figure of 6.5% for Huntingdonshire. The proportion aged 5-11 was 8.5%, below the Huntingdonshire figure of 9.8%, and the proportion aged 12-16 (4.2%) was also below the Huntingdonshire figure (6.7%). The proportion of the population aged 17-24 (7.2%) was slightly below the Huntingdonshire figure (7.9%), whereas the proportions aged 25-29 and 30-44 (8.5% and 34.4%) were significantly above the District figures (6.2% and 24.4%). The proportions of the population aged 45-59 (15.4%), 60-74 (8.5%) and 75+ (2.6%) were slightly below the comparable Huntingdonshire proportions (20.8%, 11.8% and 5.9%). Figure A compares the age structures of residents of Huntingdonshire new developments and all Huntingdonshire residents from the 2001 Census. Most developments showed similar age profiles. Unsurprisingly, Co-op Farm, which had the highest proportion of detached homes, also had the highest proportion of children. This development's age structure was the closest to Cambourne's in terms of proportions of children.

Figure A: Age Structure of Residents






Figure 3a: Age Groups of Household Members by Development




The average number of people per household was 2.52 overall, ranging from 1.53 in Pig Lane to 3.00 in Headlands (Figure 3b – also see Table 3.2). This is just slightly lower than the 2.55 found in Cambourne.

Figure 3b: Average Household Size by Development



Overall, 36% of households were composed of families with children under 17. 20% were composed of one adult, 38% were composed of two adults and 6% were composed of three adults or more (Figure 3c – also see Table 3.6).

Figure 3c: Household Composition by Development




92% of residents were White British, 4% were White Irish or White Other, and 4% were Mixed, Asian, Black, Chinese or other ethnic group (Figure 3d – also see Table 3.11). Numbers of ethnic minority residents were low across all developments; the highest proportion people from groups other than White was found in Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon. Overall the Huntingdonshire developments contained a lower proportion of people from minority ethnic groups than found in Cambourne, where 9.5% of residents were from ethnic groups other than White.

98% of households indicated that the first language of the household was English. Numbers of households speaking other languages were very low.

86% of households across the developments had no members who attended worship or participated in religious activities. Of those households that did indicate religious participation, the overwhelming majority were Christian. Negligible numbers indicated an affiliation to other religions.

Figure 3d: Ethnic Origins of Household Members by Development




Section 4: Your Work, Study and Travel

The fourth section of the questionnaire asked residents for the employment circumstances of the people in the property aged 17 and over, the locations of their main places of work or study, the methods of travel to their main places of work or study, and the number of vehicles available for use by the people in the household.

Overall, 75% of adults were employed, either full time, part time or self employed, and 3% were students (Figure 4a – also see Table 4.1). 1% of adults were seeking work, 7% were looking after home or family, 2% were permanently sick or disabled, and 12% were retired. These proportions are broadly similar to those found for Huntingdonshire overall in the 2001 Census.

Figure 4a: Employment Circumstances of Household Members



32% of adults in employment work in public administration, education and health (Figure 4b – also see Table 4.2). 22% work in banking, finance and business activities and a further 13% work in distribution, hotels and restaurants. 27% of adults in employment work as managers and senior officials (Figure 4c – also see Table 4.3), 17% have professional occupations and 18% have associate professional or technical occupations. Together these groups account for 62% of adults in employment, which is considerably higher than the 43% found in Huntingdonshire overall. This shows that new developments attract a high proportion of people from traditionally more highly paid occupation sectors.

Figure 4b: Industries of Employment





Figure 4c: Occupations of Employment



69% of adults in employment or full-time education travel to work or study by van or car alone (Figure 4d – also see Table 4.4), which is similar to the 72% found in Cambourne. Residents travel varying distances to work or study. The most common distance to travel was between 5km and 10km, which was travelled by 20% of adults in employment or full-time education (Figure 4e – also see Table 4.5).

Figure 4d: Methods of Travel to Work or Study





Figure 4e: Distances Travelled to Work or Study



59% of households had two or more motor vehicles, 34% were one vehicle households and 7% were without a motor vehicle (Figure 4f – also see Table 4.6). Proportions varied by tenure, however, with almost half of households in social rented properties having no vehicle. The average number of vehicles per household was 1.61, ranging from 1.35 in Pig Lane to 1.90 in Headlands (Figure 4g).

Figure 4f: Vehicle Number of Household by Development





Figure 4g: Average Vehicle Number of Household by Development



Section 5: Your Other Activities and Opinions

The fifth section of the questionnaire asked residents about their shopping habits and their opinions on the best and worst things about living in the area.

As would be expected, shopping habits varied across the developments surveyed. 27% of all households did their main food shopping in St Neots (Figure 5a – also see Table 5.1), which represents residents from Barford Road, Eynesbury, and Mill Lane, Little Paxton. The majority of those living in Co-op Farm, Yaxley, did their food shopping in Peterborough, while those living in Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon, and Roman Way, Godmanchester, were most likely to do their food shopping in Huntingdon. 43% of households (including 85% of those surveyed in Co-op Farm, Yaxley) did their main non-food shopping in Peterborough (Figure 5b – also see Table 5.2). Other popular places for non-food shopping included Cambridge (17%), which was particularly popular among those living in Headlands, St Ives, and St Neots (10%).

Figure 5a: Food Shopping






Figure 5b: Non-food Shopping




Respondents were asked to identify the three best and three worst things about living in their area. 28% of households were of the opinion that good road links was one of the best things about living in the area (Figure 5c – also see Table 5.3). 25% mentioned good parks/walks/countryside and 20% felt their location was good. People living in Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon, were particularly positive about their local shops and services, while in Headlands, Fenstanton, 20% of respondents identified local schools as good and 18% felt the public transport was good.

Figure 5c: Best Things



20% of households were of the opinion that traffic, busy roads and/or speeding was one of the worst things about living in the area (Figure 5d – also see Table 5.4). This proportion was notably high in Headlands, Fenstanton, where 65% of respondents felt this was among the worst things. Other bad things commonly identified included the poor range of shops/restaurants (15%), lack of parking spaces (15%) and a lack of services/facilities (14%). Problems with litter/bins/refuse collection were identified by 21% of households in Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon.

Figure 5d: Worst Things



Summary

This section of the report has presented a range of findings from the survey. While it is possible to make broad observations based on the overall averages for the eight developments, the analysis has shown that there is considerable variation between the developments. While, in general, the residents surveyed were more likely to be young adults or young children than the Huntingdonshire population in general, household composition and age structure varied distinctly between developments, influenced by property types and numbers of bedrooms. While, overall, 62% of adults in employment had managerial, professional or associate professional occupations, this proportion varied from 86% in Mill Lane, Little Paxton, to 54% in Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon and 55% in Bury Road, Ramsey. Inevitably, the opinions of residents on the best and worst things about living in their area varied by development, as did other aspects of living in new housing developments presented in this report.

The ability to identify the characteristics of developments with similar housing profiles to those of future new developments provides the opportunity to learn lessons about the likely future residents and therefore to plan services more effectively in future. In particular, the findings highlight the pitfalls of a 'one size fits all' approach to planning services for new developments.

The findings from the survey are presented in more detail in Part B of this report.

PART B: DETAILED RESULTS

Section 1: Your Previous Home

The first section of the questionnaire asked residents for the location of their last permanent residence, the tenure category of their last property, and the main reasons for wanting to move from their previous home.

Table 1.1 shows the distance travelled from their previous property by the 702 households that responded to the survey across the eight new developments that were surveyed. Table 1.2 shows the location of each household's last permanent residence.

Table 1.1: Distance Travelled from Previous Home by Development

Distance Travelled	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Less than 2km	84	12	53	9	9	6	5	3	181
% of Households	36%	55%	20%	18%	17%	33%	29%	10%	26%
2km to less than 5km	12	4	35	10	13	0	0	5	79
% of Households	5%	18%	13%	20%	24%	0%	0%	17%	12%
5km to less than 10km	17	1	39	12	8	0	2	4	83
% of Households	7%	5%	15%	24%	15%	0%	12%	14%	12%
10km to less than 20km	18	2	21	2	3	2	1	3	52
% of Households	8%	9%	8%	4%	6%	11%	6%	10%	8%
20km to less than 30km	18	1	19	2	3	2	2	2	49
% of Households	8%	5%	7%	4%	6%	11%	12%	7%	7%
30km to less than 40km	10	0	9	0	1	3	0	3	26
% of Households	4%	0%	3%	0%	2%	17%	0%	10%	4%
40km to less than 60km	21	0	12	3	1	0	0	1	38
% of Households	9%	0%	5%	6%	2%	0%	0%	3%	6%
60km and over	53	2	73	13	16	5	7	8	177
% of Households	23%	9%	28%	25%	30%	28%	41%	28%	26%
Households	233	22	261	51	54	18	17	29	685
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Distance Travelled Not Stated	5	2	7	0	2	0	0	1	17
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 1.2: Location of Previous Home by Development

Previous Location	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Huntingdonshire District	114	18	91	26	32	6	8	14	309
% of Households	49%	82%	35%	51%	59%	33%	47%	48%	45%
City of Peterborough	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	1	67
% of Households	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	10%
Mid Bedfordshire District	20	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	28
% of Households	9%	0%	2%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	4%
South Cambridgeshire District	3	1	6	8	2	0	1	2	23
% of Households	1%	5%	2%	16%	4%	0%	6%	7%	3%
North Hertfordshire District	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	13
% of Households	5%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Rest of Hertfordshire County	19	0	8	4	1	1	0	3	36
% of Households	8%	0%	3%	8%	2%	6%	0%	10%	5%
Rest of Cambridgeshire County	4	1	9	0	3	0	1	2	20
% of Households	2%	5%	3%	0%	6%	0%	6%	7%	3%
Rest of Bedfordshire County	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	9
% of Households	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	3%	1%
Northamptonshire County	3	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	8
% of Households	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	1%
Greater London Authority	12	0	15	2	1	1	0	0	31
% of Households	5%	0%	6%	4%	2%	6%	0%	0%	5%
Rest of UK	36	2	52	9	10	3	7	5	124
% of Households	15%	9%	20%	18%	19%	17%	41%	17%	18%
Outside UK	7	0	4	0	4	1	0	1	17
% of Households	3%	0%	2%	0%	7%	6%	0%	3%	2%
Households	233	22	261	51	54	18	17	29	685
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Previous Location Not Stated	5	2	7	0	2	0	0	1	17
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 1.3 shows the previous tenure category of each household's last permanent residence. The most frequent response across all eight developments was owned by you, with 71% of households stating that their previous property was owner-occupied, either outright or with a mortgage.

Table 1.3: Tenure Category of Previous Home by Development

Previous Tenure	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Owned by you	151	15	214	43	24	15	9	16	487
% of Households	65%	65%	82%	84%	44%	83%	53%	55%	71%
Rented from private landlord	37	4	26	2	14	3	5	7	98
% of Households	16%	17%	10%	4%	26%	17%	29%	24%	14%
Rented from social landlord	12	3	8	4	9	0	0	3	39
% of Households	5%	13%	3%	8%	17%	0%	0%	10%	6%
Intermediate tenure	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	6
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	12%	3%	1%
Living with parents/family	31	1	12	2	6	0	1	2	55
% of Households	13%	4%	5%	4%	11%	0%	6%	7%	8%
Households	232	23	261	51	54	18	17	29	685
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Previous Tenure Not Stated	6	1	7	0	2	0	0	1	17
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 1.4 shows the main reasons given by respondents for wanting to move from their last permanent residence. The most frequent response overall was to find a larger or smaller home, with 41% of households stating that this was one of the main reasons for leaving their previous property.

Table 1.4: Reasons for Leaving Previous Home by Development

Reasons for Leaving Previous Home	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
To be nearer job/new job % of All Households	40 17%	6 25%	47 18%	10 20%	17 30%	5 28%	4 24%	8 27%	137 20%
To be nearer family/friends % of All Households	35 15%	5 21%	52 19%	10 20%	10 18%	4 22%	5 29%	4 13%	125 18%
Unhappy with environment/quality of life % of All Households	39 16%	6 25%	33 12%	10 20%	8 14%	4 22%	2 12%	3 10%	105 15%
Unhappy with aspects of previous home/location % of All Households	43 18%	5 21%	49 18%	15 29%	10 18%	2 11%	0 0%	5 17%	129 18%
Wanting to set up own home % of All Households	54 23%	2 8%	25 9%	4 8%	18 32%	1 6%	6 35%	7 23%	117 17%
To be nearer to children's school % of All Households	4 2%	1 4%	3 1%	2 4%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	13 2%
To move into school catchment % of All Households	0 0%	1 4%	3 1%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	6 1%
To find a larger or smaller home % of All Households	88 37%	11 46%	125 47%	29 57%	16 29%	5 28%	2 12%	11 37%	287 41%
For financial reasons % of All Households	11 5%	2 8%	7 3%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	24 3%
For medical reasons % of All Households	7 3%	1 4%	1 0%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	2 12%	1 3%	15 2%
For personal reasons such as divorce % of All Households	7 3%	3 13%	12 4%	2 4%	3 5%	1 6%	1 6%	3 10%	32 5%
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Section 2: Your Current Home

The second section of the questionnaire asked residents for the tenure category of their current property, the size of the property, the length of time they intended to stay at the property, and the main reasons for choosing to move to their current location. Residents were also asked if they were the first people to live in the property.

Table 2.1 shows the tenure category of each household's current property. The most frequent response across all eight developments was owned by you, with 81% of households stating that their current property is owner-occupied, either outright or with a mortgage. This is ten percentage points higher than the percentage of residents stating that their previous property was owner-occupied, suggesting that the new developments are attractive locations for first-time buyers.

Table 2.1: Tenure Category of Current Home by Development

Current Tenure	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Owned by you	189	16	236	43	36	10	12	21	563
% of Households	80%	70%	89%	86%	65%	56%	71%	72%	81%
Rented from private landlord	7	2	10	1	6	8	4	2	40
% of Households	3%	9%	4%	2%	11%	44%	24%	7%	6%
Rented from social landlord	31	5	16	5	12	0	0	5	74
% of Households	13%	22%	6%	10%	22%	0%	0%	17%	11%
Intermediate tenure	9	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	15
% of Households	4%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	6%	3%	2%
Households	236	23	264	50	55	18	17	29	692
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Current Tenure Not Stated	2	1	4	1	1	0	0	1	10
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 2.2 shows each household's current tenure category, by the tenure category of their previous property. Of the 556 households currently living in owner-occupied properties, 456 (82%) lived in owner-occupied properties previously, 11% previously lived in properties rented from a private landlord, 6% were living with parents or family, and 1% lived in properties of intermediate tenure (e.g. part owned and part rented from a Housing Association).

Table 2.2: Tenure Category of Current Home by Previous Tenure Category

Current Tenure	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Living with parents/family	Previous Tenure Not Stated	All Households
Owned by you	456	60	0	5	35	7	563
% of Households	94%	61%	0%	83%	64%	78%	81%
Rented from private landlord	21	15	1	0	3	0	40
% of Households	4%	15%	3%	0%	5%	0%	6%
Rented from social landlord	5	17	36	0	14	2	74
% of Households	1%	17%	95%	0%	25%	22%	11%
Intermediate tenure	4	6	1	1	3	0	15
% of Households	1%	6%	3%	17%	5%	0%	2%
Households	486	98	38	6	55	9	692
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Current Tenure Not Stated	1	0	1	0	0	8	10
All Households	487	98	39	6	55	17	702

Table 2.3 shows the responses to the question – are you the first people to live in this property? The most frequent response across all eight developments was yes, with 67% of households stating that they are the first occupiers of their current property.

Table 2.3: First Occupiers of Current Home by Development

	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
First Occupiers									
Yes	143	22	165	38	45	15	13	20	461
% of Households	61%	96%	63%	75%	83%	83%	81%	69%	67%
No	90	1	96	13	9	3	3	9	224
% of Households	38%	4%	36%	25%	17%	17%	19%	31%	33%
Don't know	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Households	234	23	264	51	54	18	16	29	689
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
First Occupiers Not Stated	4	1	4	0	2	0	1	1	13
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 2.4 shows the size of each household's current property, in terms of property type. Overall, 50% of properties are detached, 23% are semi-detached, 16% are terraced and 12% are flats, apartments or maisonettes. Table 2.5 shows each household's current property type, by the tenure category of their current property.

Table 2.4: Property Type of Current Home by Development

Property Type	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Detached	76	9	212	24	19	0	0	2	342
% of Households	33%	38%	81%	48%	35%	0%	0%	7%	50%
Semi-detached	67	4	35	16	16	3	0	15	156
% of Households	29%	17%	13%	32%	29%	17%	0%	54%	23%
Terraced	53	9	10	9	13	6	0	8	108
% of Households	23%	38%	4%	18%	24%	33%	0%	29%	16%
Flat/apartment/maisonette	36	2	6	1	7	9	17	3	81
% of Households	16%	8%	2%	2%	13%	50%	100%	11%	12%
Households	232	24	263	50	55	18	17	28	687
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Property Type Not Stated	6	0	5	1	1	0	0	2	15
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 2.5: Property Type of Current Home by Current Tenure Category

Property Type	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Current Tenure Not Stated	All Households
Detached	322	10	6	3	1	342
% of Households	58%	25%	8%	20%	33%	50%
Semi-detached	120	8	24	3	1	156
% of Households	22%	20%	34%	20%	33%	23%
Terraced	85	10	6	6	1	108
% of Households	15%	25%	8%	40%	33%	16%
Flat/apartment/maisonette	31	12	35	3	0	81
% of Households	6%	30%	49%	20%	0%	12%
Households	558	40	71	15	3	687
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Property Type Not Stated	5	0	3	0	7	15
All Households	563	40	74	15	10	702

Table 2.6 shows the size of each household's current property, in terms of bedroom number. Overall, the average number of bedrooms per property is 3.32. By development, average bedroom number ranges from 1.53 in Pig Lane, St Ives to 3.75 in Co-op Farm, Yaxley. Table 2.7 shows each household's current bedroom number, by the tenure category of their current property.

Table 2.6: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Development

Bedroom Number	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
1 bedroom	19	1	4	1	7	1	8	1	42
% of Households	8%	4%	2%	2%	13%	6%	47%	3%	6%
2 bedroom	48	5	12	4	14	7	9	10	109
% of Households	21%	21%	5%	8%	25%	41%	53%	34%	16%
3 bedroom	62	9	67	17	9	4	0	12	180
% of Households	27%	38%	26%	35%	16%	24%	0%	41%	27%
4 bedroom	94	6	142	18	22	5	0	6	293
% of Households	41%	25%	55%	37%	40%	29%	0%	21%	43%
5 bedroom	4	3	26	7	3	0	0	0	43
% of Households	2%	13%	10%	14%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%
6 bedroom	3	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	11
% of Households	1%	0%	2%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Households	230	24	257	49	55	17	17	29	678
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bedrooms	715	77	963	179	165	47	26	81	2253
Bedrooms per Household	3.11	3.21	3.75	3.65	3.00	2.76	1.53	2.79	3.32
Bedroom Number Not Stated	8	0	11	2	1	1	0	1	24
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 2.7: Bedroom Number of Current Home by Current Tenure Category

Bedroom Number	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Current Tenure Not Stated	All Households
1 bedroom	10	1	28	3	0	42
% of Households	2%	3%	41%	20%	0%	6%
2 bedroom	60	15	28	6	0	109
% of Households	11%	38%	41%	40%	0%	16%
3 bedroom	155	11	9	4	1	180
% of Households	28%	28%	13%	27%	33%	27%
4 bedroom	276	11	3	2	1	293
% of Households	50%	28%	4%	13%	33%	43%
5 bedroom	39	2	1	0	1	43
% of Households	7%	5%	1%	0%	33%	6%
6 bedroom	11	0	0	0	0	11
% of Households	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Households	551	40	69	15	3	678
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bedrooms	1960	118	128	35	12	2253
Bedrooms per Household	3.56	2.95	1.86	2.33	4.00	3.32
Bedroom Number Not Stated	12	0	5	0	7	24
All Households	563	40	74	15	10	702

Table 2.8 shows the main reasons given by respondents for wanting to move to their current location. The most frequent response overall was the design or appearance of the property or development, with 53% of households stating that this is one of the main reasons for choosing their current property.

Table 2.8: Reasons for Choosing Current Home by Development

Reasons for Choosing Current Home	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
To be nearer to job % of All Households	36 15%	5 21%	40 15%	9 18%	16 29%	4 22%	3 18%	7 23%	120 17%
To be nearer to family/friends % of All Households	47 20%	8 33%	63 24%	8 16%	12 21%	4 22%	7 41%	3 10%	152 22%
Better public transport links than other developments % of All Households	18 8%	3 13%	20 7%	13 25%	6 11%	1 6%	1 6%	2 7%	64 9%
To be nearer to children's school % of All Households	9 4%	2 8%	9 3%	4 8%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	27 4%
To move into school catchment % of All Households	4 2%	1 4%	4 1%	2 4%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	1 3%	13 2%
To be nearer to shops/services % of All Households	21 9%	4 17%	30 11%	7 14%	8 14%	2 11%	9 53%	0 0%	81 12%
Design/appearance of property/development % of All Households	125 53%	14 58%	144 54%	33 65%	22 39%	14 78%	7 41%	14 47%	373 53%
Price compared to other developments % of All Households	64 27%	6 25%	75 28%	7 14%	8 14%	1 6%	0 0%	3 10%	164 23%
Easier to buy new property from developer % of All Households	43 18%	3 13%	39 15%	9 18%	16 29%	1 6%	4 24%	8 27%	123 18%
Like idea of living in a new development % of All Households	14 6%	1 4%	35 13%	8 16%	4 7%	1 6%	0 0%	8 27%	71 10%
Size of property % of All Households	7 3%	2 8%	7 3%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	18 3%
Good road links % of All Households	3 1%	0 0%	7 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	10 1%
Allocated by Housing Association % of All Households	9 4%	2 8%	3 1%	1 2%	4 7%	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	21 3%
For health/age-related reasons % of All Households	5 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8 1%
For personal reasons % of All Households	3 1%	0 0%	3 1%	2 4%	2 4%	3 17%	0 0%	0 0%	13 2%
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 2.9 shows the length of time each household intends to live at their current property. The most frequent response overall was between 5 and 10 years from now, with 23% of households stating that this is their intended length of stay.

Table 2.9: Intended Length of Stay in Current Home by Development

Intended Length of Stay	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Less than 6 months from now	19	0	11	1	2	6	4	0	43
% of Households	8%	0%	4%	2%	4%	33%	24%	0%	6%
Between 6 months and 1 year from now	16	2	10	1	2	0	2	2	35
% of Households	7%	8%	4%	2%	4%	0%	12%	8%	5%
Between 1 and 3 years from now	46	2	30	7	16	3	3	9	116
% of Households	20%	8%	11%	15%	29%	17%	18%	35%	17%
Between 3 and 5 years from now	55	3	33	9	11	5	0	7	123
% of Households	23%	13%	13%	19%	20%	28%	0%	27%	18%
Between 5 and 10 years from now	36	6	76	19	10	2	2	4	155
% of Households	15%	25%	29%	40%	18%	11%	12%	15%	23%
More than 10 years from now	29	9	60	8	6	2	3	1	118
% of Households	12%	38%	23%	17%	11%	11%	18%	4%	17%
Not sure	34	2	41	3	8	0	3	3	94
% of Households	14%	8%	16%	6%	15%	0%	18%	12%	14%
Households	235	24	261	48	55	18	17	26	684
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Intended Length of Stay Not Stated	3	0	7	3	1	0	0	4	18
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Section 3: Your Household

The third section of the questionnaire asked residents for the age group and ethnic origin of each person living in the property, as well as the religious faith and first language of the people in the household.

Table 3.1 shows the age groups of the 1738 people living in the 691 households that responded to this part of the survey. The ratio of adults to children is 3 to 1, with 1324 adults and 414 children overall. The proportions of adults aged 30 to 44 and children aged 0 to 4 are high, as is the proportion of adults aged 25 to 29, taking into account the fact that the age bands are not equal.

Table 3.1: Age Groups of Household Members by Development

Age Group	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Children Aged 0-4	60	3	81	18	12	6	0	6	186
% of People	11%	6%	11%	12%	10%	14%	0%	9%	11%
Children Aged 5-11	31	4	84	13	5	1	0	6	144
% of People	6%	8%	12%	9%	4%	2%	0%	9%	8%
Children Aged 12-16	16	1	35	7	4	4	0	4	71
% of People	3%	2%	5%	5%	3%	9%	0%	6%	4%
Children Age Group Not Stated	2	0	4	5	2	0	0	0	13
All Children	109	8	204	43	23	11	0	16	414
Adults Aged 17-24	36	12	43	14	10	2	0	5	122
% of People	7%	23%	6%	9%	8%	5%	0%	8%	7%
Adults Aged 25-29	60	3	35	12	23	2	3	6	144
% of People	11%	6%	5%	8%	19%	5%	12%	9%	8%
Adults Aged 30-44	180	10	258	51	35	15	9	28	586
% of People	34%	19%	36%	34%	29%	35%	36%	43%	34%
Adults Aged 45-59	85	15	107	23	19	7	4	3	263
% of People	16%	28%	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	5%	15%
Adults Aged 60-74	42	4	63	8	7	5	8	7	144
% of People	8%	8%	9%	5%	6%	12%	32%	11%	8%
Adults Aged 75+	20	1	15	2	4	1	1	0	44
% of People	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	0%	3%
Adults Age Group Not Stated	8	0	4	0	4	0	1	4	21
All Adults	431	45	525	110	102	32	26	53	1324
People	530	53	721	148	119	43	25	65	1704
% of People	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
People Age Group Not Stated	10	0	8	5	6	0	1	4	34
All People	540	53	729	153	125	43	26	69	1738

Table 3.2 shows the size of each household by development. Tables 3.3 and 3.4 show household size by bedroom number and current tenure category.

Overall, the average number of people per household is 2.52. The average numbers of adults and children per household are 1.92 and 0.60 respectively. By development, average household size ranges from a low of 1.53 in Pig Lane, St Ives (where the average number of bedrooms per property is 1.53), to a high of 3.00 in Headlands, Fenstanton (where the average number of bedrooms is 3.65). By tenure category, average household size ranges from a low of 2.14 in properties rented from a social landlord (with an average of 1.86 bedrooms per property across all eight developments), to a high of 2.59 in owner-occupied properties (with an average of 3.56 bedrooms across all eight developments).

Table 3.5 shows the average number of people per household by both bedroom number and current tenure category.

Table 3.2: Household Size by Development

Household Size	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
1 person	56	7	34	4	17	6	8	4	136
% of Households	24%	30%	13%	8%	31%	33%	47%	14%	20%
2 person	98	8	103	18	21	6	9	14	277
% of Households	42%	35%	39%	35%	38%	33%	53%	48%	40%
3 person	45	4	41	9	6	1	0	8	114
% of Households	19%	17%	16%	18%	11%	6%	0%	28%	16%
4 person	29	2	66	15	7	4	0	2	125
% of Households	12%	9%	25%	29%	13%	22%	0%	7%	18%
5 person	5	2	14	4	4	0	0	1	30
% of Households	2%	9%	5%	8%	7%	0%	0%	3%	4%
6 person	2	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	7
% of Households	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%
7 person	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Households	235	23	263	51	55	18	17	29	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
People	540	53	729	153	125	43	26	69	1738
% of People	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Children	109	8	204	43	23	11	0	16	414
% of People	20%	15%	28%	28%	18%	26%	0%	23%	24%
Adults	431	45	525	110	102	32	26	53	1324
% of People	80%	85%	72%	72%	82%	74%	100%	77%	76%
People per Household	2.30	2.30	2.77	3.00	2.27	2.39	1.53	2.38	2.52
Children per Household	0.46	0.35	0.78	0.84	0.42	0.61	0.00	0.55	0.60
Adults per Household	1.83	1.96	2.00	2.16	1.85	1.78	1.53	1.83	1.92
Household Size Not Stated	3	1	5	0	1	0	0	1	11
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 3.3: Household Size by Bedroom Number

Household Size	1 bedroom	2 bedroom	3 bedroom	4 bedroom	5 bedroom	6 bedroom	Bedroom Number Not Stated	All Households
1 person	34	38	37	22	1	0	4	136
% of Households	81%	35%	21%	8%	2%	0%	24%	20%
2 person	7	56	85	110	11	0	8	277
% of Households	17%	51%	47%	38%	26%	0%	47%	40%
3 person	1	10	38	58	5	1	1	114
% of Households	2%	9%	21%	20%	12%	10%	6%	16%
4 person	0	3	15	83	18	4	2	125
% of Households	0%	3%	8%	28%	43%	40%	12%	18%
5 person	0	1	3	15	7	2	2	30
% of Households	0%	1%	2%	5%	17%	20%	12%	4%
6 person	0	1	1	3	0	2	0	7
% of Households	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	20%	0%	1%
7 person	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%
Households	42	109	179	292	42	10	17	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
People	51	203	402	848	145	48	41	1738
% of People	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Children	1	30	73	238	44	16	12	414
% of People	2%	15%	18%	28%	30%	33%	29%	24%
Adults	50	173	329	610	101	32	29	1324
% of People	98%	85%	82%	72%	70%	67%	71%	76%
People per Household	1.21	1.86	2.25	2.90	3.45	4.80	2.41	2.52
Children per Household	0.02	0.28	0.41	0.82	1.05	1.60	0.71	0.60
Adults per Household	1.19	1.59	1.84	2.09	2.40	3.20	1.71	1.92
Household Size Not Stated	0	0	1	1	1	1	7	11
All Households	42	109	180	293	43	11	24	702

Table 3.4: Household Size by Current Tenure Category

Household Size	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Current Tenure Not Stated	All Households
1 person	84	15	30	6	1	136
% of Households	15%	38%	41%	40%	50%	20%
2 person	241	10	21	4	1	277
% of Households	43%	25%	28%	27%	50%	40%
3 person	94	5	12	3	0	114
% of Households	17%	13%	16%	20%	0%	16%
4 person	110	8	7	0	0	125
% of Households	20%	20%	9%	0%	0%	18%
5 person	26	1	2	1	0	30
% of Households	5%	3%	3%	7%	0%	4%
6 person	3	1	2	1	0	7
% of Households	1%	3%	3%	7%	0%	1%
7 person	2	0	0	0	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Households	560	40	74	15	2	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
People	1450	93	158	34	3	1738
% of People	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Children	336	22	46	10	0	414
% of People	23%	24%	29%	29%	0%	24%
Adults	1114	71	112	24	3	1324
% of People	77%	76%	71%	71%	100%	76%
People per Household	2.59	2.33	2.14	2.27	1.50	2.52
Children per Household	0.60	0.55	0.62	0.67	0.00	0.60
Adults per Household	1.99	1.78	1.51	1.60	1.50	1.92
Household Size Not Stated	3	0	0	0	8	11
All Households	563	40	74	15	10	702

Table 3.5: Average Household Size by Bedroom Number and Current Tenure Category

People per Household	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	All Households
1 bedroom	1.30	1.00	1.21	1.00	1.21
2 bedroom	1.65	1.73	2.32	2.17	1.86
3 bedroom	2.11	2.64	4.00	2.75	2.25
4 bedroom	2.90	2.64	4.33	3.50	2.90
5 bedroom	3.46	4.00	2.00		3.45
6 bedroom	4.80				4.80
All Households	2.59	2.33	2.14	2.27	2.52

Children per Household	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	All Households
1 bedroom	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.02
2 bedroom	0.05	0.20	0.64	1.00	0.28
3 bedroom	0.29	0.73	2.00	0.75	0.41
4 bedroom	0.80	0.82	2.67	0.50	0.82
5 bedroom	1.08	1.00	0.00		1.05
6 bedroom	1.60				1.60
All Households	0.60	0.55	0.62	0.67	0.60

Adults per Household	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	All Households
1 bedroom	1.30	1.00	1.18	1.00	1.19
2 bedroom	1.60	1.53	1.68	1.17	1.59
3 bedroom	1.82	1.91	2.00	2.00	1.84
4 bedroom	2.10	1.82	1.67	3.00	2.09
5 bedroom	2.38	3.00	2.00		2.40
6 bedroom	3.20				3.20
All Households	1.99	1.78	1.51	1.60	1.92

Table 3.6 shows the composition of each household by development. Tables 3.7 and 3.8 show household composition by bedroom number and current tenure category.

Accounting for 38% of households, the most common household composition overall is two adults, with most two adult households being composed of at least one adult under 60. 36% of households are composed of families with children under 17, 4% with one lone adult and 32% with two or more adults. 20% of households are composed of one adult – 14% under 60 and 6% over 60 – and 6% are composed of three adults or more.

Table 3.6: Household Composition by Development

Household Composition	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntington	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
1 Adult & 1 Child	8	2	3	2	0	0	0	2	17
% of Households	3%	9%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%
1 Adult & 2 Children	2	0	3	1	1	0	0	2	9
% of Households	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	7%	1%
1 Adult & 3+ Children	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Adult 60+	13	3	11	0	6	1	5	2	41
% of Households	6%	13%	4%	0%	11%	6%	29%	7%	6%
1 Adult Not 60+	43	4	23	4	11	5	3	2	95
% of Households	18%	17%	9%	8%	20%	28%	18%	7%	14%
2+ Adults & 1 Child	43	4	41	9	4	0	0	5	106
% of Households	18%	17%	16%	18%	7%	0%	0%	17%	15%
2+ Adults & 2 Children	19	1	52	10	7	4	0	1	94
% of Households	8%	4%	20%	20%	13%	22%	0%	3%	14%
2+ Adults & 3+ Children	5	0	12	3	1	1	0	1	23
% of Households	2%	0%	5%	6%	2%	6%	0%	3%	3%
2 Adults Both 60+	18	0	23	4	2	2	2	1	52
% of Households	8%	0%	9%	8%	4%	11%	12%	3%	8%
2 Adults Not Both 60+	72	6	77	12	19	4	7	11	208
% of Households	31%	26%	29%	24%	35%	22%	41%	38%	30%
3+ Adults	12	3	15	6	4	1	0	2	43
% of Households	5%	13%	6%	12%	7%	6%	0%	7%	6%
Households	235	23	263	51	55	18	17	29	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Household Composition Not Stated	3	1	5	0	1	0	0	1	11
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 3.7: Household Composition by Bedroom Number

Household Composition	1 bedroom	2 bedroom	3 bedroom	4 bedroom	5 bedroom	6 bedroom	Bedroom Number Not Stated	All Households
1 Adult & 1 Child	0	9	4	3	0	0	1	17
% of Households	0%	8%	2%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%
1 Adult & 2 Children	0	0	6	2	1	0	0	9
% of Households	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%
1 Adult & 3+ Children	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Adult 60+	13	8	12	5	1	0	2	41
% of Households	31%	7%	7%	2%	2%	0%	12%	6%
1 Adult Not 60+	21	30	25	17	0	0	2	95
% of Households	50%	28%	14%	6%	0%	0%	12%	14%
2+ Adults & 1 Child	1	8	24	59	10	3	1	106
% of Households	2%	7%	13%	20%	24%	30%	6%	15%
2+ Adults & 2 Children	0	3	10	63	13	3	2	94
% of Households	0%	3%	6%	22%	31%	30%	12%	14%
2+ Adults & 3+ Children	0	2	3	12	2	2	2	23
% of Households	0%	2%	2%	4%	5%	20%	12%	3%
2 Adults Both 60+	2	6	18	17	4	0	5	52
% of Households	5%	6%	10%	6%	10%	0%	29%	8%
2 Adults Not Both 60+	5	41	63	90	7	0	2	208
% of Households	12%	38%	35%	31%	17%	0%	12%	30%
3+ Adults	0	2	13	22	4	2	0	43
% of Households	0%	2%	7%	8%	10%	20%	0%	6%
Households	42	109	179	292	42	10	17	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Household Composition Not Stated	0	0	1	1	1	1	7	11
All Households	42	109	180	293	43	11	24	702

Table 3.8: Household Composition by Current Tenure Category

Household Composition	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Current Tenure Not Stated	All Households
1 Adult & 1 Child	8	0	7	2	0	17
% of Households	1%	0%	9%	13%	0%	2%
1 Adult & 2 Children	4	1	4	0	0	9
% of Households	1%	3%	5%	0%	0%	1%
1 Adult & 3+ Children	2	1	0	0	0	3
% of Households	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 Adult 60+	24	1	15	1	0	41
% of Households	4%	3%	20%	7%	0%	6%
1 Adult Not 60+	60	14	15	5	1	95
% of Households	11%	35%	20%	33%	50%	14%
2+ Adults & 1 Child	90	5	7	4	0	106
% of Households	16%	13%	9%	27%	0%	15%
2+ Adults & 2 Children	86	3	5	0	0	94
% of Households	15%	8%	7%	0%	0%	14%
2+ Adults & 3+ Children	16	2	4	1	0	23
% of Households	3%	5%	5%	7%	0%	3%
2 Adults Both 60+	43	2	6	1	0	52
% of Households	8%	5%	8%	7%	0%	8%
2 Adults Not Both 60+	190	8	8	1	1	208
% of Households	34%	20%	11%	7%	50%	30%
3+ Adults	37	3	3	0	0	43
% of Households	7%	8%	4%	0%	0%	6%
Households	560	40	74	15	2	691
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Household Composition Not Stated	3	0	0	0	8	11
All Households	563	40	74	15	10	702

Table 3.9 shows the religious faith of each household. The most frequent response across all eight developments was no religion, with 86% of households not stating a religious faith. Of the households stating a religious faith, the most frequent response was Christian, with only 1% of all households stating a non-Christian religion. (Rather than asking about religious beliefs, this question asked about religious practices, asking households whether or not they attended worship or activities of any religious faith.)

Table 3.9: Religious Faith of Household by Development

Religious Faith	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
No Religion / Religious Faith Not Stated	211	20	228	42	46	15	15	24	601
% of All Households	89%	83%	85%	82%	82%	83%	88%	80%	86%
Christian	26	4	36	9	8	3	2	6	94
% of All Households	11%	17%	13%	18%	14%	17%	12%	20%	13%
Hindu	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
% of All Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Muslim	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
% of All Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sikh	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of All Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Religion	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
% of All Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702
% of All Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Table 3.10 shows each household's first language. With 671 households (98%), the most frequent response across all eight developments was English. Chinese, German, Gujarati and Portuguese were the next most frequently spoken languages, with two households each, and six other first languages were each spoken by only one household.

Table 3.10: First Language of Household by Development

First Language (spoken by 2 or more households)	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
English	230	22	260	50	49	15	16	29	671
% of Households	98%	92%	99%	100%	92%	88%	100%	100%	98%
Chinese	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
German	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Gujarati	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Portuguese	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Other First Language (spoken by only 1 household)									
% of Households	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	6
	0%	8%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	1%
Households	234	24	262	50	53	17	16	29	685
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
First Language Not Stated	4	0	6	1	3	1	1	1	17
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 3.11 shows the ethnic origins of members of each household. White British was the most frequent response, with 1572 household members (92%), followed by White Other, with 48 (3%). Indian, Irish, Other Asian and Chinese were the next most frequently stated ethnic groups, each with 1% of household members.

Table 3.11: Ethnic Origins of Household Members by Development

Ethnic Origin	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
White: British	496	44	670	143	97	37	23	62	1572
% of People	93%	83%	93%	95%	80%	86%	88%	90%	92%
White: Irish	6	1	4	3	1	0	0	3	18
% of People	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%
White: Other	17	3	13	2	7	5	1	0	48
% of People	3%	6%	2%	1%	6%	12%	4%	0%	3%
Mixed: White and Black Caribbean	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Mixed: White and Black African	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed: White and Asian	1	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	7
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Mixed: Other	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	5
% of People	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian British: Indian	2	3	9	0	5	0	2	0	21
% of People	0%	6%	1%	0%	4%	0%	8%	0%	1%
Asian or Asian British: Pakistani	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
% of People	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Asian or Asian British: Other Asian	2	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	11
% of People	0%	4%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Black or Black British: Black Caribbean	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or Black British: Black African	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	5
% of People	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or Black British: Other Black	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
% of People	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chinese	3	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	11
% of People	1%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Other Ethnic Group	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	7
% of People	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
People	533	53	723	150	121	43	26	69	1718
% of People	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ethnic Origin Not Stated	7	0	6	3	4	0	0	0	20
All People	540	53	729	153	125	43	26	69	1738

Section 4: Your Work, Study and Travel

The fourth section of the questionnaire asked residents for the employment circumstances of the people in the property aged 17 and over, the locations of their main places of work or study, the methods of travel to their main places of work or study, and the number of vehicles available for use by the people in the household.

Table 4.1 shows the employment circumstances of each person aged 17 and over. Employed full time was the most frequent response, with 56% of adults stating that they are full-time employees. Overall, 75% of adults are employed, either full time, part time or self employed, and 3% are students. Tables 4.2 and 4.3 show the industries and occupations of the adults in employment. Tables 4.4 and 4.5 show the methods of travel and distances travelled to work or study by the adults in employment or full-time education.

Table 4.1: Employment Circumstances of Household Members by Development

Employment Circumstance	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Self employed	43	4	48	12	3	6	1	2	119
% of Adults	10%	9%	9%	11%	3%	19%	4%	4%	9%
Employed full time	243	28	268	61	53	15	14	38	720
% of Adults	58%	62%	53%	58%	56%	47%	54%	72%	56%
Employed part time	35	1	63	12	4	4	0	6	125
% of Adults	8%	2%	12%	11%	4%	13%	0%	11%	10%
Seeking work	4	0	5	1	4	0	0	0	14
% of Adults	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Full time education	10	5	15	8	3	1	0	0	42
% of Adults	2%	11%	3%	8%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%
Looking after home/family	31	1	36	5	8	2	0	1	84
% of Adults	7%	2%	7%	5%	8%	6%	0%	2%	7%
Permanently sick/disabled	7	1	7	0	7	0	2	1	25
% of Adults	2%	2%	1%	0%	7%	0%	8%	2%	2%
Retired	43	5	66	7	13	4	9	5	152
% of Adults	10%	11%	13%	7%	14%	13%	35%	9%	12%
Employed Adults	321	33	379	85	60	25	15	46	964
% of Adults	77%	73%	75%	80%	63%	78%	58%	87%	75%
Employed Adults plus Students	331	38	394	93	63	26	15	46	1006
% of Adults	80%	84%	78%	88%	66%	81%	58%	87%	79%
Adults	416	45	508	106	95	32	26	53	1281
% of Adults	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Employment Circumstance Not Stated	15	0	17	4	7	0	0	0	43
All Adults	431	45	525	110	102	32	26	53	1324

Table 4.2: Industries of Employment by Development

Industry	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Agriculture and fishing % of Employed Adults	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
Energy and water % of Employed Adults	5 2%	1 4%	6 2%	1 1%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	15 2%
Manufacturing % of Employed Adults	43 17%	9 32%	41 13%	6 9%	4 9%	3 15%	3 27%	5 14%	114 15%
Construction % of Employed Adults	17 7%	0 0%	25 8%	4 6%	1 2%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	48 6%
Distribution, hotels and restaurants % of Employed Adults	35 14%	3 11%	47 15%	9 13%	4 9%	1 5%	0 0%	3 8%	102 13%
Transport and communications % of Employed Adults	16 6%	3 11%	18 6%	6 9%	3 7%	1 5%	0 0%	1 3%	48 6%
Banking, finance and business activities % of Employed Adults	58 23%	4 14%	70 23%	12 17%	4 9%	7 35%	3 27%	10 28%	168 22%
Public administration, education and health % of Employed Adults	63 25%	8 29%	89 29%	30 43%	26 57%	7 35%	5 45%	14 39%	242 32%
Other services % of Employed Adults	17 7%	0 0%	8 3%	1 1%	3 7%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	31 4%
Employed Adults % of Employed Adults	254 100%	28 100%	304 100%	69 100%	46 100%	20 100%	11 100%	36 100%	768 100%
Industry Not Stated	67	5	75	16	14	5	4	10	196
All Employed Adults	321	33	379	85	60	25	15	46	964

Table 4.3: Occupations of Employment by Development

Occupation	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Managers and senior officials	62	9	98	27	11	11	3	14	235
% of Employed Adults	22%	29%	28%	35%	20%	50%	23%	36%	27%
Professional occupations	53	3	57	7	10	2	4	8	144
% of Employed Adults	19%	10%	16%	9%	18%	9%	31%	21%	17%
Associate professional and technical occupations	51	5	55	18	9	6	2	7	153
% of Employed Adults	18%	16%	16%	23%	16%	27%	15%	18%	18%
Administrative and secretarial occupations	41	5	47	9	7	1	1	3	114
% of Employed Adults	15%	16%	14%	12%	13%	5%	8%	8%	13%
Skilled trades occupations	23	1	28	4	5	1	0	1	63
% of Employed Adults	8%	3%	8%	5%	9%	5%	0%	3%	7%
Personal service occupations	15	1	14	8	8	1	0	2	49
% of Employed Adults	5%	3%	4%	10%	15%	5%	0%	5%	6%
Sales and customer service occupations	12	3	18	2	3	0	1	1	40
% of Employed Adults	4%	10%	5%	3%	5%	0%	8%	3%	5%
Process, plant and machine operatives	9	4	20	2	0	0	1	1	37
% of Employed Adults	3%	13%	6%	3%	0%	0%	8%	3%	4%
Elementary occupations	14	0	10	0	2	0	1	2	29
% of Employed Adults	5%	0%	3%	0%	4%	0%	8%	5%	3%
Employed Adults	280	31	347	77	55	22	13	39	864
% of Employed Adults	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Occupation Not Stated	41	2	32	8	5	3	2	7	100
All Employed Adults	321	33	379	85	60	25	15	46	964

Table 4.4: Methods of Travel to Work or Study by Development

Method of Travel	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
At or from home % of Employed Adults plus Students	14 4%	0 0%	12 3%	7 8%	2 3%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	36 4%
Walk % of Employed Adults plus Students	16 5%	2 5%	12 3%	3 3%	3 5%	0 0%	1 7%	2 4%	39 4%
Cycle % of Employed Adults plus Students	9 3%	1 3%	5 1%	4 4%	2 3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	22 2%
Bus % of Employed Adults plus Students	12 4%	2 5%	12 3%	11 12%	4 7%	1 4%	1 7%	2 4%	45 5%
Van or car alone % of Employed Adults plus Students	205 65%	25 66%	275 73%	55 62%	43 70%	21 81%	13 87%	25 56%	662 69%
Car share % of Employed Adults plus Students	31 10%	7 18%	44 12%	4 4%	5 8%	0 0%	0 0%	9 20%	100 10%
Train % of Employed Adults plus Students	26 8%	0 0%	10 3%	3 3%	2 3%	3 12%	0 0%	4 9%	48 5%
Park and ride % of Employed Adults plus Students	1 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Motorbike % of Employed Adults plus Students	0 0%	1 3%	6 2%	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	10 1%
Plane % of Employed Adults plus Students	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Employed Adults plus Students % of Employed Adults plus Students	314 100%	38 100%	376 100%	89 100%	61 100%	26 100%	15 100%	45 100%	964 100%
Method of Travel Not Stated	17	0	18	4	2	0	0	1	42
All Employed Adults plus Students	331	38	394	93	63	26	15	46	1006

Table 4.5: Distances Travelled to Work or Study by Development

Distance Travelled	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
At or from home % of Employed Adults plus Students	14 5%	0 0%	12 3%	7 8%	2 3%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	36 4%
Less than 2km % of Employed Adults plus Students	71 23%	11 31%	26 7%	9 10%	2 3%	1 4%	3 20%	2 4%	125 13%
2km to less than 5km % of Employed Adults plus Students	10 3%	2 6%	14 4%	12 13%	25 41%	0 0%	0 0%	12 27%	75 8%
5km to less than 10km % of Employed Adults plus Students	9 3%	0 0%	165 44%	9 10%	6 10%	1 4%	2 13%	6 13%	198 21%
10km to less than 20km % of Employed Adults plus Students	60 19%	15 43%	13 3%	31 34%	6 10%	6 23%	5 33%	2 4%	138 14%
20km to less than 30km % of Employed Adults plus Students	47 15%	1 3%	32 9%	1 1%	8 13%	3 12%	0 0%	6 13%	98 10%
30km to less than 40km % of Employed Adults plus Students	11 4%	3 9%	20 5%	2 2%	3 5%	1 4%	0 0%	8 18%	48 5%
40km to less than 60km % of Employed Adults plus Students	17 5%	0 0%	18 5%	1 1%	3 5%	4 15%	0 0%	1 2%	44 5%
60km and over % of Employed Adults plus Students	42 14%	1 3%	32 9%	7 8%	3 5%	4 15%	1 7%	5 11%	95 10%
No fixed workplace % of Employed Adults plus Students	30 10%	2 6%	42 11%	12 13%	3 5%	5 19%	4 27%	3 7%	101 11%
Employed Adults plus Students % of Employed Adults plus Students	311 100%	35 100%	374 100%	91 100%	61 100%	26 100%	15 100%	45 100%	958 100%
Distance Travelled Not Stated	20	3	20	2	2	0	0	1	48
All Employed Adults plus Students	331	38	394	93	63	26	15	46	1006

Table 4.6 shows each household's motor vehicle number. Overall, the average number of vehicles per household is 1.61. By development, average vehicle number ranges from 1.35 in Pig Lane, St Ives to 1.90 in Headlands, Fenstanton. (The average number of vehicles is not exact, as households with more than 4 vehicles are counted as having 4 vehicles.) Tables 4.7 and 4.8 show motor vehicle number by bedroom number and current tenure category.

Table 4.6: Vehicle Number of Household by Development

Vehicle Number	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
0 vehicle	16	3	15	2	8	0	1	2	47
% of Households	7%	13%	6%	4%	15%	0%	6%	7%	7%
1 vehicle	95	10	71	8	20	5	9	11	229
% of Households	42%	42%	28%	16%	38%	29%	53%	38%	34%
2 vehicle	99	9	145	33	22	10	7	15	340
% of Households	44%	38%	57%	67%	42%	59%	41%	52%	51%
3 vehicle	12	2	21	5	3	1	0	1	45
% of Households	5%	8%	8%	10%	6%	6%	0%	3%	7%
4+ vehicle	2	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	8
% of Households	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%
Households	224	24	256	49	53	17	17	29	669
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Vehicles	337	34	440	93	73	32	23	44	1076
Vehicles per Household	1.50	1.42	1.72	1.90	1.38	1.88	1.35	1.52	1.61
Vehicle Number Not Stated	14	0	12	2	3	1	0	1	33
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 4.7: Vehicle Number of Household by Bedroom Number

Vehicle Number	1 bedroom	2 bedroom	3 bedroom	4 bedroom	5 bedroom	6 bedroom	Bedroom Number Not Stated	All Households
0 vehicle	16	16	6	6	1	0	2	47
% of Households	42%	15%	3%	2%	2%	0%	14%	7%
1 vehicle	17	59	72	70	4	1	6	229
% of Households	45%	57%	42%	24%	10%	11%	43%	34%
2 vehicle	5	28	88	181	26	7	5	340
% of Households	13%	27%	51%	63%	62%	78%	36%	51%
3 vehicle	0	1	7	26	10	0	1	45
% of Households	0%	1%	4%	9%	24%	0%	7%	7%
4+ vehicle	0	0	0	6	1	1	0	8
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	11%	0%	1%
Households	38	104	173	289	42	9	14	669
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Vehicles	27	118	269	534	90	19	19	1076
Vehicles per Household	0.71	1.13	1.55	1.85	2.14	2.11	1.36	1.61
Vehicle Number Not Stated	4	5	7	4	1	2	10	33
All Households	42	109	180	293	43	11	24	702

Table 4.8: Vehicle Number of Household by Current Tenure Category

Vehicle Number	Owned by you	Rented from private landlord	Rented from social landlord	Intermediate tenure	Current Tenure Not Stated	All Households
0 vehicle	12	0	33	2	0	47
% of Households	2%	0%	48%	14%	0%	7%
1 vehicle	174	18	25	10	2	229
% of Households	32%	46%	36%	71%	100%	34%
2 vehicle	310	20	9	1	0	340
% of Households	57%	51%	13%	7%	0%	51%
3 vehicle	41	1	2	1	0	45
% of Households	8%	3%	3%	7%	0%	7%
4+ vehicle	8	0	0	0	0	8
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Households	545	39	69	14	2	669
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Vehicles	949	61	49	15	2	1076
Vehicles per Household	1.74	1.56	0.71	1.07	1.00	1.61
Vehicle Number Not Stated	18	1	5	1	8	33
All Households	563	40	74	15	10	702

Section 5: Your Other Activities and Opinions

The fifth section of the questionnaire asked residents about their shopping habits and their opinions on the best and worst things about living in the area.

Tables 5.1 and 5.2 show each household's main locations for food and non-food shopping. The most frequent responses vary by development, with most households doing their main food shopping in their local area, and their main non-food shopping in a nearby market town or city.

Table 5.1: Food Shopping by Development

	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Food Shopping									
Bar Hill	0	0	2	30	0	0	4	1	37
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	60%	0%	0%	24%	4%	5%
Biggleswade	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bretton	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
% of Households	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Cambourne	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	7
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	6%	0%	7%	1%
Cambridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Eynesbury	54	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	55
% of Households	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%
Hampton	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	0	103
% of Households	0%	0%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%
Huntingdon	3	10	3	4	48	0	1	22	91
% of Households	1%	43%	1%	8%	87%	0%	6%	79%	13%
Online	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%
Peterborough	0	6	109	0	0	0	0	0	115
% of Households	0%	26%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Ramsey	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
% of Households	0%	30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Sapley	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	1%
St Ives	0	0	0	15	0	0	11	2	28
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	0%	65%	7%	4%
St Neots	168	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	185
% of Households	73%	0%	0%	0%	0%	94%	0%	0%	27%
Stamford	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Stanground	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
% of Households	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Yaxley	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	30
% of Households	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Households	231	23	262	50	55	18	17	28	684
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Food Shopping Not Stated	7	1	6	1	1	0	0	2	18
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 5.2: Non-food Shopping by Development

Non-food Shopping	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Bar Hill	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%
Bedford	45	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	50
% of Households	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	8%
Cambourne	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cambridge	50	3	2	29	9	5	7	9	114
% of Households	23%	13%	1%	60%	16%	29%	41%	33%	17%
Eynesbury	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hampton	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	33
% of Households	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Huntingdon	3	5	0	3	23	0	1	7	42
% of Households	1%	22%	0%	6%	42%	0%	6%	26%	6%
London	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	7
% of Households	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	4%	1%
Milton Keynes	30	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	35
% of Households	14%	0%	1%	0%	2%	6%	0%	0%	5%
Online	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	7
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%	4%	1%
Peterborough	15	14	223	4	18	0	3	9	286
% of Households	7%	61%	85%	8%	33%	0%	18%	33%	43%
Ramsey	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sapley	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
St Ives	0	0	0	9	0	0	5	0	14
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	29%	0%	2%
St Neots	61	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	66
% of Households	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	10%
Stevenage	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
% of Households	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%

Welwyn Garden City	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Yaxley	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
% of Households	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Households	217	23	262	48	55	17	17	27	666
% of Households	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Non-food Shopping									
Not Stated	21	1	6	3	1	1	0	3	36
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Tables 5.3 and 5.4 show the opinions of each household on the three best and three worst things about living in the area, with each of the open responses matched to the most appropriate of the twenty headings shown in the tables.

Overall, good road links was the most frequently stated best thing, followed by good parks, walks and/or countryside. The most frequently stated worst thing was traffic, busy roads and/or speeding, followed by poor range of shops and inadequate parking. The best and worst things vary by development, however, with some areas offering a good range of shops, and others a lack of parks and green spaces.

Table 5.3: Best Things by Development

Best Things	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Good road links % of All Households	65 27%	0 0%	90 34%	10 20%	9 16%	7 39%	4 24%	10 33%	195 28%
Good parks/walks/countryside % of All Households	105 44%	1 4%	23 9%	13 25%	7 13%	9 50%	5 29%	11 37%	174 25%
Good location % of All Households	45 19%	4 17%	52 19%	14 27%	12 21%	6 33%	4 24%	6 20%	143 20%
Nice area/development/environment % of All Households	45 19%	4 17%	59 22%	10 20%	7 13%	2 11%	3 18%	6 20%	136 19%
Good local shops/services/council % of All Households	43 18%	3 13%	52 19%	11 22%	15 27%	1 6%	4 24%	5 17%	134 19%
Quiet % of All Households	26 11%	7 29%	61 23%	11 22%	15 27%	2 11%	3 18%	7 23%	132 19%
Near to shops/services % of All Households	35 15%	4 17%	50 19%	10 20%	16 29%	1 6%	3 18%	4 13%	123 18%
Nice people % of All Households	19 8%	4 17%	33 12%	7 14%	5 9%	0 0%	1 6%	2 7%	71 10%
Near to friends/family % of All Households	23 10%	3 13%	25 9%	6 12%	7 13%	0 0%	5 29%	1 3%	70 10%
Good schools % of All Households	14 6%	1 4%	27 10%	10 20%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	3 10%	56 8%
Nice house % of All Households	20 8%	3 13%	23 9%	1 2%	4 7%	1 6%	0 0%	3 10%	55 8%
Near to main towns % of All Households	17 7%	1 4%	23 9%	7 14%	2 4%	1 6%	2 12%	2 7%	55 8%
Good public transport % of All Households	19 8%	1 4%	10 4%	9 18%	8 14%	1 6%	1 6%	1 3%	50 7%
Convenient for work % of All Households	13 5%	3 13%	18 7%	3 6%	6 11%	1 6%	2 12%	2 7%	48 7%
Low crime % of All Households	7 3%	5 21%	25 9%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	41 6%
Cheaper housing % of All Households	12 5%	2 8%	16 6%	0 0%	1 2%	1 6%	0 0%	1 3%	33 5%
Sense of community % of All Households	12 5%	0 0%	11 4%	2 4%	0 0%	2 11%	2 12%	3 10%	32 5%
Good activities/entertainment % of All Households	5 2%	1 4%	9 3%	2 4%	4 7%	2 11%	1 6%	0 0%	24 3%
Clean/well kept % of All Households	5 2%	3 13%	4 1%	0 0%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	16 2%
Mix of people % of All Households	3 1%	0 0%	1 0%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	6 1%
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Table 5.4: Worst Things by Development

Worst Things	Barford Road, Eynesbury	Bury Road, Ramsey	Co-op Farm, Yaxley	Headlands, Fenstanton	Kings Ripton Road, Huntingdon	Mill Lane, Little Paxton	Pig Lane, St Ives	Roman Way, Godmanchester	All Developments
Traffic/busy roads/speeding % of All Households	30 13%	4 17%	38 14%	33 65%	16 29%	3 17%	5 29%	14 47%	143 20%
Poor range of shops/restaurants % of All Households	42 18%	8 33%	29 11%	6 12%	7 13%	3 17%	4 24%	6 20%	105 15%
Not enough parking spaces % of All Households	60 25%	2 8%	14 5%	5 10%	11 20%	4 22%	0 0%	6 20%	102 15%
Lack of services/facilities % of All Households	30 13%	0 0%	53 20%	4 8%	6 11%	2 11%	0 0%	2 7%	97 14%
Anti-social/youth behaviour % of All Households	51 21%	1 4%	30 11%	0 0%	7 13%	0 0%	1 6%	7 23%	97 14%
Litter/bins/fortnightly collection % of All Households	39 16%	1 4%	36 13%	1 2%	12 21%	0 0%	1 6%	2 7%	92 13%
Poor public transport % of All Households	27 11%	5 21%	29 11%	1 2%	6 11%	0 0%	2 12%	6 20%	76 11%
Crime/criminal damage/policing % of All Households	15 6%	3 13%	46 17%	3 6%	6 11%	0 0%	1 6%	1 3%	75 11%
Not good location % of All Households	29 12%	2 8%	11 4%	6 12%	10 18%	5 28%	2 12%	3 10%	68 10%
Lack of activities/entertainment % of All Households	33 14%	6 25%	19 7%	2 4%	1 2%	2 11%	2 12%	1 3%	66 9%
Dirty/not well kept % of All Households	9 4%	3 13%	32 12%	8 16%	2 4%	1 6%	1 6%	1 3%	57 8%
Poor/unfinished housing/development % of All Households	13 5%	0 0%	22 8%	10 20%	5 9%	3 17%	0 0%	2 7%	55 8%
Mix of people/housing % of All Households	21 9%	1 4%	17 6%	5 10%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	46 7%
Poor/narrow roads % of All Households	21 9%	2 8%	7 3%	3 6%	4 7%	4 22%	2 12%	1 3%	44 6%
Too much/overcrowded development % of All Households	13 5%	0 0%	18 7%	4 8%	4 7%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	40 6%
Cost of living % of All Households	19 8%	0 0%	9 3%	3 6%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%	2 7%	37 5%
Lack of parks/green spaces % of All Households	6 3%	3 13%	17 6%	2 4%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	30 4%
Poor schools % of All Households	6 3%	1 4%	11 4%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	20 3%
Bad smell % of All Households	0 0%	0 0%	14 5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	15 2%
No community spirit % of All Households	6 3%	1 4%	2 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	10 1%
All Households	238	24	268	51	56	18	17	30	702

Appendix A: New Development Survey 2007 Questionnaire

OFFICE USE ONLY:

Estate No. _____

Form No. _____



Cambridgeshire
County Council

New Development Survey 2007

We would be grateful if you would take the time to complete this questionnaire on behalf of your household. It is entirely confidential, but feel free to leave out any questions you do not wish to answer. Please submit your replies in the **pre-paid envelope provided**, one per household, by **Monday 7th May 2007**.

Section 1: Your previous home

1. Where did you move from?

Last permanent residence (only include stays of more than 6 months)

Please provide:

The city, town or village _____

The county _____

The full postcode _____

The country (if overseas) _____

Office use

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Was your last property:

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Owned by you (outright or with a mortgage) | <input type="checkbox"/> | Discounted/low cost ownership | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from a private landlord/employer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Shared ownership/shared equity* | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from a Local Authority | <input type="checkbox"/> | Key worker (owned) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from a Housing Association | <input type="checkbox"/> | Key worker (rented) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from family/friends | <input type="checkbox"/> | Living with parents/family | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other (please specify) _____ | | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**e.g. part owned and part rented from a Housing Association*

3. What were your main reasons for wanting to move from your previous home? Your reasons for choosing *this* location will be asked later.

Please tick as many boxes as apply.

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| To be nearer job/new job | <input type="checkbox"/> | Wanting to set up own home | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| To be nearer family/friends | <input type="checkbox"/> | To be nearer to children's school | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unhappy with environment/quality of life | <input type="checkbox"/> | To move into school catchment | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unhappy with aspects of previous home/location | <input type="checkbox"/> | To find a larger or smaller home | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other (please specify) _____ | | | |

Section 2: Your current home

4. When did you move into this property? Year Month

5. Is your property:

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Owned by you (outright or with a mortgage) | <input type="checkbox"/> | Shared ownership/shared equity* | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from a private landlord/employer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Key worker (owned) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rented from a Housing Association | <input type="checkbox"/> | Key worker (rented) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Discounted/low cost ownership | <input type="checkbox"/> | Rented from family/friends | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other (please specify) _____ | | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**e.g. part owned and part rented from a Housing Association*

6. Are you the first people to live in this property? Yes No Don't know

7. Is your property:

Detached Terraced
 Semi-detached Flat/apartment/maisonette
 Other (please specify) _____

8. How many bedrooms does your property have?

9. What was your main reason for choosing to move to this location rather than elsewhere in the area?
 Please tick as many boxes as apply.

To be nearer to job	<input type="checkbox"/>	To be nearer to shops/services	<input type="checkbox"/>
To be nearer to family/friends	<input type="checkbox"/>	Design/appearance of property/development	<input type="checkbox"/>
Better public transport links than other villages in the area	<input type="checkbox"/>	Price compared to other villages in the area	<input type="checkbox"/>
To be nearer to children's school	<input type="checkbox"/>	Easier to buy new property from developer	<input type="checkbox"/>
To move into school catchment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Like idea of living in a new village	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Other (please specify) _____	<input type="checkbox"/>

10. Do you see yourself living at this property for:

Less than 6 months from now	<input type="checkbox"/>	Between 5 and 10 years from now	<input type="checkbox"/>
Between 6 months and 1 year from now	<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 10 years from now	<input type="checkbox"/>
Between 1 and 3 years from now	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not sure	<input type="checkbox"/>
Between 3 and 5 years from now	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Section 3: Your household

11. How many people who normally live at this address are aged:
 (students should only be included if they live here during term time)

	16 and under	17-24	25-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	Total
Male								
Female								

12. Please give the dates of birth of all those aged 16 and under:

	Month	Year	Which childcare, nursery, school or college (if any) do they attend?	Office use
1 st child	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
2 nd child	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
3 rd child	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
4 th child	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
5 th child	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

13. Do you or any member of your household attend worship or activities of any religious faith?
 If YES, please indicate the faith below. If NO, please write "Not applicable".

14. What is the first language spoken in your household? Office use

15. How many people in your household are:

Traveller of Irish Heritage Other Traveller Roma/Gypsy

16. What is your ethnic origin?

Please write the number of people in your household who belong to each ethnic group.

White: British	<input type="checkbox"/>	Asian or Asian British: Pakistani	<input type="checkbox"/>
White: Irish	<input type="checkbox"/>	Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi	<input type="checkbox"/>
White: Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	Asian or Asian British: Other Asian	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mixed: White and Black Caribbean	<input type="checkbox"/>	Black or Black British: Black Caribbean	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mixed: White and Black African	<input type="checkbox"/>	Black or Black British: Black African	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mixed: White and Asian	<input type="checkbox"/>	Black or Black British: Other Black	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mixed: Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	Chinese	<input type="checkbox"/>
Asian or Asian British: Indian	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other ethnic group	<input type="checkbox"/>

Section 4: About your work, study and travel

Please give the following details about each person aged 17 and over in your household.

17. Employment circumstances:

Part time = under 30 hours a week; full time = 30 or more hours a week.

For each person, please only select the box which most applies.

	Self-employed	Employed full time	Employed part time	Seeking work	Full time education	Look after home/family	Permanently sick/disabled	Retired
Person 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

18. Where do they work/study?

For each person, please write the town and postcode of their main place of work/study.

If they work at or from home write 'home'. If they have no fixed workplace write 'various'.

If they don't work or study write N/A.

Person 1	Town	_____	Postcode	_____
Person 2	Town	_____	Postcode	_____
Person 3	Town	_____	Postcode	_____
Person 4	Town	_____	Postcode	_____
Person 5	Town	_____	Postcode	_____

For each person, please write the name of their main employer or their school/college.

Person 1	_____	<i>Office use only</i>				
Person 2	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 3	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 4	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 5	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

19. What is the full title of their main job?

For example, primary school teacher, car mechanic, district nurse, aircraft engineer.

Person 1	_____	<i>Office use only</i>				
Person 2	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 3	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 4	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 5	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

20. How do they travel to work/study?

Please select the means of travel used for the longest part, by distance, of their usual journey to their main place of work or study.

	Walk	Cycle	Bus	Car alone	Car share	Train	Park and ride	Other (please specify)
Person 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Person 5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

21. How many motor vehicles are available for use by your household?

None One Two Three Four or more

Section 5: About your other activities

22. In which town/village does your household do its main food shopping?

Office use

23. In which town/village does your household do its main non-food shopping?

For example, clothes, shoes, electrical goods.

Office use

24. Which doctor's surgery/health centre is your household registered with?

If more than one applies, please list all applicable. Please omit students living away from home.

Office use

25. Have you or members of your household joined any community or voluntary organisations or clubs since moving to this area? Please list any organisations or clubs and their location below.

Organisation or Club

Location and full postcode

Office use

26. What are the three best and three worst things about living in this area?

Best

Worst

1. _____

1. _____

2. _____

2. _____

3. _____

3. _____

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this questionnaire. Please return by post using the pre-paid envelope provided. If you have any queries about the questionnaire or the survey, please telephone the Research Group on 01223 717208.