

# 2013 Air Quality Progress Report for South Cambridgeshire District Council

In fulfillment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

April 2013

Local Authority Officer	Kenny Abere, Scientific Officer
Department	Health and Environmental Services
	South Cambridgeshire Hall
	Cambourne Business Park
Address	Cambourne
	Cambridgeshire
	CB23 3EA
Telephone	01954 713070
e-mail	Kenny.Abere@scambs.gov.uk
Report Reference	
number	
Date	April 2013

# **Executive Summary**

This Report constitutes the 2013 Air Quality Review and Assessment Progress Report for South Cambridgeshire District Council. The Report includes air quality monitoring data from 2012. It also covers other issues and developments that have occurred in the last twelve months, since the Updating and Screening Assessment Report of 2012 that may have a bearing on local air quality.

The objectives for nitrogen dioxide were met at all the monitoring locations apart from the Hackers Fruit Farm Cottage where the air – quality objectives was slightly exceeded. The daily and annual mean objective for  $PM_{10}$  was exceeded at Impington but achieved at Orchard Park School and Girton continuous monitoring stations.

In 2012, South Cambridgeshire District Council submitted its 2012 Updating and Screening Assessment report where it was reported that the report has not identified any locations where a Detailed Assessment for any source or pollutants should be conducted. However, it was stated that SCDC will continue monitoring at all its existing sites at Impington, Orchard Park and Girton with the implementation of the measures outlined in the authority Action Plan for the existing AQMA.

Therefore, with the exception of the delay to the A14 upgrading and improvements work, there has been no other major infrastructural project or development that may have significantly changed the conclusions of the last Updating and Screening Assessment Report or the status of the Council current Air Quality Management Area.

The next air quality review and assessment report will be the 2014 Progress Report.

# **Table of Contents**

1	Intro	oduction	5
	1.1	Description of Local Authority Area	5
	1.2	Purpose of Progress Report	5
	1.3	Air Quality Objectives	6
	1.4	Summary of Previous Review and Assessments	8
2	New	Monitoring Data	11
	2.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	11
	2.2	Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives	18
3	New	/ Local Developments	34
	3.1	Road Traffic Sources	34
	3.2	Other Transport Sources	36
	3.3	Industrial Sources	36
	3.4	Commercial and Domestic Sources	36
	3.5	New Developments with Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources	36
4	Loc	al / Regional Air Quality Strategy	37
5	Plar	ning Applications	38
6	Air	Quality Planning Policies	40
7	Loc	al Transport Plans and Strategies	42
8	Clin	nate Change Strategies	44
	8.1	Climate Change Action Plan	44
9	Imp	lementation of Action Plans	47
10	Con	clusions and Proposed Actions	51
	10.1	Conclusions from New Monitoring Data	51
	10.2	Conclusions relating to New Local Developments	51
	10.3	Other Conclusions	52
	10.4	Proposed Actions	52
11	Refe	erences	54

### **List of Tables**

	Page
1.1 National Air Quality Objectives	7
2.1 Details of Automatic Monitoring Stations	13
2.2 Details of Non – Automatic Monitoring Stations	16
2.3 Results of Automatic Monitoring for $NO_2$ : Comparison with Annual Mean Objective	20
2.4 Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO2: Comparing with the 1-hour Mean Objective	ve 20
2.5 Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes 2012	24
2.6 Results of Nitrogen Diffusion Tubes Trend (2008 – 2012)	25
2.7 Results of the $PM_{10}$ Auto. Monitoring: Comparison with the Annual Mean Objective	30
2.8 Results of the PM <sub>10</sub> Automatic Monitoring: Comparison with 24-hour Mean Objective	ə 31
2.9 Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM <sub>2.5</sub>	32
9.1 Action Plan Progress	48
List of Figures	
1.1 Map of the AQMA Boundary	10
2.1 Map of Automatic and Non – Automatic Monitoring Sites	12
2.3a Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Bar Hill	21
2.3b Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Impington	21
2.3c Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Orchard Pa	rk 22
2.4a Trends in Annual Mean $NO_2$ Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Sites	26
2.4b Trends in Annual Mean $NO_2$ Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Sites	27
2.4c Trends in Annual Mean $NO_2$ Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Sites	28
2.5a Trends in Annual Mean $PM_{10}$ Concentrations at the Automatic Monitoring Sites	30
2.5b Trends in Annual Mean $PM_{10}$ in Comparison with the Daily Mean Objectives	31
2.6 Trends in Annual Mean $PM_{2.5}$ Measured at Bar Hill and Girton	33

### Appendices

Appendix 1: QA/QC Data

Appendix 2: Map of AQMA

Appendix 3:  $NO_2$  monthly mean measured at diffusion tubes sites 2012

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Description of Local Authority Area

South Cambridgeshire is a rural district in East Anglia, which entirely surrounds but does not include the city of Cambridge. It is the southernmost district of the county of Cambridgeshire and borders Bedfordshire to the west, Hertfordshire to the south, Essex to the southeast and Suffolk to the east. The district is comprises of 102 parishes with all settlements classified as villages. The landscape and villages are equally varied.

The area has good road and rail links with London and the South-East. The M11/A11 and A14 corridors pass through the District to the west/south and north of Cambridge respectively. To date, air quality issues within the District of South Cambridgeshire have been linked directly to the volume of traffic that runs through the District, specifically along the A14. The A14 is congested on a regular basis between Bar Hill (to the West of Cambridge) and Milton (to the North North-East of Cambridge). This has resulted in the declaration of an Air Quality Management Area for nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and PM<sub>10</sub> along the stretch of the A14 between Bar Hill and Milton. Traffic levels have continued to grow along the A14 through the District so that the road is now almost at its maximum capacity.

# 1.2 Purpose of Progress Report

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where exceedences are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

Progress Reports are required in the intervening years between the three-yearly Updating and Screening Assessment reports. Their purpose is to maintain continuity in the Local Air Quality Management process.

They are not intended to be as detailed as Updating and Screening Assessment Reports, or to require as much effort. However, if the Progress Report identifies the risk of exceedence

of an Air Quality Objective, the Local Authority (LA) should undertake a Detailed Assessment immediately, and not wait until the next round of Review and Assessment.

### 1.3 Air Quality Objectives

The air quality objectives applicable to LAQM **in England** are set out in the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (SI 928), The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (SI 3043), and are shown in Table 1.1. This table shows the objectives in units of microgrammes per cubic metre  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (milligrammes per cubic metre, mg/m<sup>3</sup> for carbon monoxide) with the number of exceedences in each year that are permitted (where applicable).

Pollutant	Air Quality	Date to be achieved	
Foliulalli	Concentration	Measured as	by
Benzene	16.25 µg/m³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
	5.00 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean	31.12.2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Carbon monoxide	10 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running 8-hour mean	31.12.2003
	0.50 µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Lead	0.25 µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2008
Nitrogen dioxide	200 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (gravimetric)	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	40 µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2004
	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide	125 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005

Table 1.1	Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of
LAQM in E	ngland

# **1.4 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments**

Report	Year	Conclusion
Review and Assessment	1998	The report progress benzene, 1-3 butadiene, lead,
		carbon monoxide, $PM_{10}$ and nitrogen dioxide to a
		Stage 2 assessment. The overall conclusion was
		that all objectives were likely to be met.
Review and Assessment	2000	All objectives likely to be met however given the
	2000	increasing traffic on the A14 and the introduction of
		new industrial sources, it was concluded that
		detailed monitoring would be required for nitrogen
		devide DM and culphur disvide
Line de tierer and Oans and is a	0000	aloxide, Pivi <sub>10</sub> and supplut dioxide.
Updating and Screening	2003	Based on monitoring results, it was concluded that
Assessment		all objectives were likely to be met.
Progress Report	2004	Monitoring results were showing exceedences of
		the annual mean for nitrogen dioxide along a
		stretch of the A14, therefore a Detailed
		Assessment was required for NO <sub>2</sub> . All other
		objectives were predicted as likely to be met.
Detailed Assessment of	2006	The annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide
Nitrogen Dioxide Along the		was not likely to be met along the A14 between Bar
A14 Corridor		Hill and Milton: therefore, it was necessary to
		doclaro an Air Quality Management Area
Drograaa Doport	2007	Monitoring results were showing evendences of
Progress Report	2007	the deity mean for DM, slowing exceedences of
		the daily mean for $PiN_{10}$ along a stretch of the A14,
		therefore a Detailed Assessment was required for
		$PM_{10}$ . Monitoring of $NO_2$ along the A14 continued
		to show exceedences of the annual mean
		objective. All other objectives were predicted as
		likely to be met.
Detailed Assessment of PM <sub>10</sub>	2008	The daily mean objective for PM <sub>10</sub> was not likely to
Along the A14 Corridor		be met along the A14 between Bar Hill and Milton;
5		therefore, it was necessary to declare an Air
		Quality Management Area.
Progress Report	2008	A Further Assessment of NO <sub>2</sub> and PM <sub>40</sub> were
	2000	required Objectives for all other pollutants were
		predicted as likely to be mot
Further Assessment of	2000	The AOMA for NO and DM, was declared An Air
Further Assessment of	2008	The AQMA for $NO_2$ and $PiN_{10}$ was declared. An Air
nitrogen dioxide and PM <sub>10</sub>		Quality Action Plan (AQAP) is required and
Along the A14 Corridor		consultation is underway for its development.
Updating and Screening	2009	Based on the monitoring results from the previous
Assessment		year, it was concluded that levels of $NO_2$ and $PM_{10}$
		along the A14 between Bar Hill and Milton would
		remain above the national objective. No other
		significant developments or increases in traffic or
		industrial emissions were identified.
Air Quality Action Plan	2010	Acceptance of Air Quality Action Plan by Defra
	2010	Detailed priority actions to be worked on over the
		coming years
Brogross Bopert	2010	Dragrage mode towards improving air quality with
riugiess Repuit	2010	improvements to local policy and strategy. No
		improvements to local policy and strategy. No
		significant local / intrastructure changes.
Progress Report	2011	During 2010, the objectives for nitrogen dioxide

#### Timeline of Significant Reports Prepared and Submitted by South Cambridgeshire District Council

		were met at all monitoring locations. However, the daily $PM_{10}$ objective was exceeded at the Bar Hill and Impington continuous monitoring stations and the annual mean $PM_{10}$ objective was exceeded at Impington.
Modelling Assessment (not submitted as review and assessment report)	2011	<ul> <li>As a result of recent monitoring results and review of the Air Quality Management Area, an air quality assessment was conducted using ADMS-Urban model the air quality along A14. In summary: <ul> <li>The modelling study concluded that there continues to be exceedences of air quality objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> (annual mean) and PM<sub>10</sub> (daily mean) on both the north and south sides of the A14.</li> <li>The modelling study shows that, despite current exceedences, all locations will achieve national objectives by 2016.</li> <li>Depending on future monitoring, it is possible that the AQMA may have to be expanded on the north side of the A14 to incorporate Hill Farm Cottages at Swavesey</li> <li>If monitoring at all locations on the south side of the A14 continue to indicate that national objectives are being achieved at those locations, the AQMA may be modified so that it only incorporates the north side of the A14 (no exceedences, no need for an AQMA).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Updating and Screening Assessment	2012	No new sources identified for any Detailed Assessment to have been required. However, an NO <sub>2</sub> (annual mean) in excess of the 40 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> objectives was measured at one of the automatic monitoring sites in Bar Hill. There is no exceedance of the NO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective at any of the automatic monitoring stations but an annual mean PM <sub>10</sub> concentration in excess of the 40 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> objective was measured at the Impington automatic monitoring site. The 50 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> 24-hr mean objective was exceeded 119 times at the same site whilst all the air quality objectives were achieved at other monitoring locations of relevant exposure outside the existing AQMA.



Figure 1.1 Map of AQMA Boundary (for NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>)

The Air Quality Management Area (as pictured in Figure 1.1, above) was initially declared in July 2007 following measured and modelled exceedences of the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide. The following year, exceedences of the daily mean objective for  $PM_{10}$  were identified at the Bar Hill and Impington continuous monitoring stations. As a result of this, a Detailed Assessment of  $PM_{10}$  was carried out. This led to the revocation of the original AQMA and the designation of a 2nd AQMA to include PM10 in July 2008. After discussions with Defra, it was decided that the boundary for the  $PM_{10}$  (which was originally slightly smaller than that of the  $NO_2$  AQMA) would be the same as the original boundary for nitrogen dioxide.

# 2 New Monitoring Data

## 2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

### 2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

South Cambridgeshire District Council operated automatic monitoring stations at 4 different sites within the District in the year 2012. The monitoring station at the corner of Girton and Huntingdon Road, near Girton College was installed December 2011 to monitor  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$ . However, the monitoring site at Bar Hill discontinuing towards the end of September, 2012 due to an issue with the power supply to the site. Details of these sites are provided in Table 2.1.

- Ratification and QA/QC of automatic monitoring data is carried out by AEA Technology (<u>http://www.aeat.co.uk</u>) now Ricardo – AEA.
- Bi-annual audits of the monitoring stations are carried out by AEA Technology.
- Services are carried out bi-annually by the equipment suppliers For Bar Hill and Impington, this is Air Monitors Ltd and the site at Girton and Orchard Park School is serviced by Enviro-Technology.
- The sites are manually calibrated on a monthly basis by the Local Site Operative. The output from the calibrations is forwarded to AEAT now Ricardo – AEA for QA/QC and ratification purposes.
- South Cambridgeshire District Council is a member of the Calibration Club, operated by AEAT now Ricardo AEA.
- All NOx analysers are chemiluminescence analysers.
- All particulate matter analysers are BAMs. In line with current guidance, BAM data is multiplied by 1.3 to give the gravimetric equivalent.



South Cambridgeshire District Council

= Automatic monitoring station

Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Reference	Y OS Grid Reference	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) from monitoring site to relevant exposure)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m) (N/A if not applicable)	Does this Location Represent Worst-Case Exposure?
Bar Hill (A14)	Roadside	538685	263760	NO <sub>x</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) PM <sub>10</sub>	Y	Thermo 42C Eberline BAM (FH62) Eberline BAM (FH62)	Y (8m)	N/A	Y
Impington (A14)	Roadside	543739	261625	NO <sub>x</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) PM <sub>10</sub>	Y	Thermo 42C Eberline BAM (FH62)	Y (12m)	N/A	Y
Orchard Park Primary School (A14)	Urban background	544558	261579	NO <sub>x</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) PM <sub>10</sub>	Y	ET M200E ET BAM1020	Y (1m)	N/A	Y
Girton	Roadside	542676	260667	NO <sub>x</sub> (NO <sub>2</sub> ) PM <sub>10</sub> ,PM <sub>2.5</sub>	N	ET M200E ET BAM1020	Y (5m)	5	Y

### Table 2.1 Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

\_

### 2.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

The monitoring of nitrogen dioxide by diffusion tube has been an on-going project since 1995. There are currently 27 sites within the District as detailed in Figure 2.1 with the locations including a kerbside, intermediate as well as urban background sites. During 2012, NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring was undertaken at 27 sites within the district using passive diffusion tubes. However, the Orchard Park School monitoring site was use as a co-location with triplicate tubes at the site.

The tubes are supplied and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG - formerly Harwell Scientifics), a UKAS accredited laboratory (0322). The tube preparation method is 50% TEA in Acetone and analysis is by desorption with distilled water, with the extract analysed using a segmented flow auto analyser with ultraviolet detection. The exposure periods for the diffusion tubes are those of the UK Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Network run by NETCEN which effectively is a four or five week duration. QA/QC procedures are as detailed in the UK NO<sub>2</sub> Diffusion Tube Network Instruction Manual which can be found at www.airquality.co.uk/archive/reports/cat06/no2instr.pdf

A laboratory bias adjustment factor of 0.79 (taken from the 2012 national database of diffusion tube adjustment factors) has been applied to the 2012 diffusion tube results. Full details of the diffusion tube QA/QC are presented in Appendix 1.

### Summary of tube details:

- Analysing lab: Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG) Ltd
   (Formerly Harwell Scientifics Ltd)
   12 Moorbrook
   Southmead Industrial Park
   Didcot
   Oxon
   OX11 7HP
- Diffusion tube preparation method used: 50:50 (acetone:triethanolamine)
- ESG Ltd confirms that the methods and procedures they follow meet the guidelines set out in Defras' "Diffusion Tubes for Ambient Monitoring: Practical Guidance". A copy of the confirmation is provided in Appendix 1.

- Whilst, South Cambridgeshire District Council has relied on the national database bias adjustment factors for this report diffusion tube calculation, however, from a colocation study which began at Orchard Park Primary School (grid reference 544557, 261571) in April 2009 a factor of 0.83 was obtained from the local study calculation for 2012.
- ESG takes part in the WASP Proficiency Scheme. The laboratory performance is rated at the highest level of "good". Full details of the ESG diffusion tube performance and WASP scores are provided in Appendix 1.

### Table 2.2 Details of Non- Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Reference	Y OS Grid Reference	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Is Monitoring Co-located with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) from monitoring site to relevant exposure)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m) (N/A if not applicable)	Does this Location Represent Worst-Case Exposure?
1 Coppice, Histon	Urban background	544230	262048	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (7m)	0.5m	Y
The Gables, High Street, Histon	Roadside	543770	263678	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (5m)	1m	Y
Hill Farm Cottages, Lolworth	Roadside	536926	264956	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	N	4m	Y
White Lion, 96 High St., Sawston	Urban background	548600	249136	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (5m)	1m	Y
Rhadegund Farm Co. Lolworth	Roadside	538744	263640	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y	33m	N
64 High St., Linton	Roadside	556179	246815	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (7m)	0.5m	Y
20 High St., Tadlow	Roadside	528131	247399	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (10m)	2m	N
47 High Street, Harston	Urban background	542554	251002	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y(5m)	1m	Y
3 Garner Close, Milton	Urban background	547452	263175	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y(5m)	1m	N
1A Weavers Field, Girton	Urban background	542537	261467	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y(15m)	1m	Y
Heath Hse., A505, Thriplow	Urban background	544034	244585	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (10m)	1m	Y
19 Lonetree Av., Impington	Roadside	544119	261862	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (7m)	0.5m	Y
1 Brook Close, Histon	Urban Background	543955	263588	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (2m)	1m	Ŷ

Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Reference	Y OS Grid Reference	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Is Monitoring Co-located with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) from monitoring site to relevant exposure)	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m) (N/A if not applicable)	Does this Location Represent Worst-Case Exposure?
22 Water Lane, Histon	Roadside	544050	263306	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (2m)	0.5m	Y
72 Cambridge Rd, Impington	Urban background	544243	261819	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (7m)	0.5m	Y
Hackers Fruit Farm, Lolworth	Roadside	539846	262826	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y	12m	Ν
5 Mill Lane, Sawston	Roadside	548545	249366	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (15m)	1m	Ν
1 Catchall Farm Cottages	Roadside	540509	262290	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (1m)	10m	Y
Crafts Way, Bar Hill	Roadside	538472	263675	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	N	Y (15m)	1m	Ν
Chieftain Way, Arbury Park	Roadside	544828	261738	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (1m)	0.5m	Y
Topper Street, Arbury Park	Roadside	545056	261784	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (1m)	0.5m	Y
Flack End, Arbury Park	Roadside	545435	261906	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (2m)	35m (from A14 WB)	Y
Orchard Park School	Urban background	544557	261571	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	Y	Y (1m)	50m	Y
Orchard Park School	Urban background	544557	261571	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	Y	Y (1m)	50m	Y
Orchard Park School	Urban background	544557	261571	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	Y	Y (1m)	50m	Y
Co-op, High Street, Histon	Roadside	543768	263708	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (1.5m)	2.6m	Y
13 Engledow Drive, Orch. Park	Urban background	545259	261873	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (5m)	4.5m	Y
22 Topper Street , Arbury Park	Roadside	545169	261764	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (4.2m)	0.2m	Y
Church Lane, Little Abington	Urban background	552961	249251	NO <sub>2</sub>	Y	N	Y (14m)	2.0m	Ν

### 2.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives

The monitoring stations at Bar Hill, Girton and Impington are considered to be sites representative of nearby receptors situated alongside the A14, whilst the Orchard Park monitor is located within the grounds of a school. All the four monitoring sites are located within the existing Air Quality Management Area for nitrogen dioxide and PM<sub>10</sub> apart from the Girton monitoring site.

The data capture during 2012 for  $NO_2$  was above the required 90% at each of the monitoring stations within the District despite the fact that, data was only collected at Bar Hill monitoring station from January – September 2012 as a result of power supply to the site. The  $PM_{10}$  data captured at Orchard Park was less than the required 90% as a result of the analyser tape mechanism fault during the monitoring period.

The  $PM_{10}$  analyser at Bar Hill was suspended in May 2012 due to the unstable nature of power source to the site which resulted in a data capture of only 48%. The Bar Hill data has been reported in the relevant tables but not commented on in details in terms of the air quality objectives. Moreover, where possible this has not been included on representative graphs and charts in the report.

Following the bias adjustment, all the nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes showed compliance with the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide apart from the Hackers Fruit Farm location in Lolworth which slightly exceeded the annual mean objective. Moreover, the current monitoring result compare to the diffusion tube result of 2011, shows a slight improvement on the annual mean result for many of the tube locations with an improved data capture percentage over a similar period in 2011.

18 of the 27 diffusion tube locations in 2012 show a reduced annual mean concentration to what was obtained for a similar site in 2011. This indicates that, there have been overall improvements in the level of air quality concentrations within the district compared to the previous year.

### Automatic Monitoring Data

- At Bar Hill, the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean is 39µg/m<sup>3</sup> whilst all other objectives were met. However, this figure is being treated with caution considering the fact these data's were only obtained from January – September 2012.
- At Impington, the annual mean objectives both for PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> were achieved. However, the daily mean objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> i.e. (days where concentrations were calculated to be >50µg/m<sup>3</sup>) was exceeded.
- At the Orchard Park School and Girton Road monitoring stations, both the NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> air quality annual mean objectives were achieved in 2012. The daily mean objectives i.e. (days where concentrations were calculated to be >50µg/m<sup>3</sup>) for the monitoring sites was also achieved.
- Results are given in Tables 2.3 and 2.4, below.

### 2.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

### **Automatic Monitoring Data**

The monitoring stations at Bar Hill, Girton Road and Impington are considered to be sites representative of nearby receptors situated alongside the A14, whilst the Orchard Park monitor is located within the grounds of a school. All of the monitoring stations with the exception of the Girton monitoring site are located within the existing Air Quality Management Area for  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{10}$ . A brief summary of performance at each monitoring station is given below:

- At Bar Hill, all the air-quality objectives were met for NO<sub>2.</sub> Albeit, data were only collected from January September, 2012 due to an issue with the power supply to the site.
- At Impington, all objectives were met for nitrogen dioxide. The result was similar to the value achieved in 2011.
- At the Orchard Park monitoring station, all objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> were met.
- At Girton Road monitoring station which was commissioned December 2011, all objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> were also met.
- Results are given in Tables 2.3 below.

Location		Data Capture MA? 2012 %	Annual mean concentrations (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )							
	Within AQMA?		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Bar Hill	Y	99.6(J-S)	34	42	39	30*	43	39		
Impington	Y	97.4	41	35	33	30*	31	31		
Orchard Park School	Y	91.6	N/A	N/A	20	28	25	21		
Girton	Ν	99.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	27		

### Table 2.3 Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO<sub>2</sub>: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

\*(J-S) means January - September

#### Table 2.4 Results of Automatic Monitoring for Nitrogen Dioxide: Comparison with 1 – hour Mean Objective

Location	Within	Data Capture	Number of Exceedences of hourly mean (200 μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Where valid data is less than 90% of a full year, the 99.8%ile of hourly means is provided in brackets							
	AQMA?	2012 %	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Bar Hill	Y	99.6(J-S)	0	0	0	0 (120)	0	0		
Impington	Y	97.4	0	0	0	0 (115)	0	0		
Orchard Park School	Y	91.6	N/A	N/A	0	0 (103)	0	0		
Girton	Ν	99.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0		

\*(J-S) means January - September

Figure 2.3a Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites at Bar Hill From 2007 - 2012



Figure 2.3b Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites at Impington From 2007 - 2012





Figure 2.3c Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites at Orchard Park From 2007 - 2012

### **Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data**

Details of the bias adjusted annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured at the diffusion tube sites (using the National Bias Adjustment Factor obtained from the national database of bias adjustment factor) during 2012 are presented in Table 2.5. Trends of results obtained from 2008 to 2012 are presented in Table 2.6 whilst the Bar chart showing the recent trends in NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured with diffusion tubes are presented in Figure 2.4

Apart from the Hackers Fruit Farm, Lolworth, none of the remaining diffusion tubes location annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration is in excess of the  $40\mu g/m^3$  during the 2012. Therefore, all the remaining sites achieved the National Annual Mean Objectives of  $40\mu g/m^3$ . The exceeded location is just outside the existing AQMA.

Locations	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Triplicate or Co-located Tube (Y/N)	Full Calendar Year Data Capture 2012 (%)	Confirm if data has been distance corrected (Y/N)	2012 Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m³) (Bias Adj. factor = 0.79)
1 The Coppice, Histon	UB	N	N	N 100.0 N		19.8
The Gables, High Street, Histon	R	N	N	100.0	N	33.8
Hill Farm Cottages, Lolworth	R	Y	N	100.0	N	36.7
White Lion, 96 High Street, Sawston	UB	N	N	83.3	N	29.2
Rhadegund Farm Cottages, Lolworth	R	Y	N	100.0	N	22.0
64 High Street, Linton	R	N	N	91.7	N	32.4
20 High Street, Tadlow	R	N	N	100.0	N	12.4
47 High Street, Harston	UB	N	N	100.0	N	25.6
3 Garner Close, Milton	UB	N	N	100.0	N	20.2
1A Weavers Field, Girton	UB	Y	N	100.0	N	29.5
Heath House, A505, Thriplow	UB	N	N	100.0 N		27.2
19 Lonetree Avenue, Impington	R	Y	N	100.0	N	21.8
1 Brook Close, Histon	UB	N	N	100.0	Ν	19.5
22 Water Lane, Histon	R	N	N	83.3	Ν	29.1
72 Cambridge Road, Impington	UB	Y	N	91.7	Ν	23.1
Hackers Fruit Farm, Lolworth	R	Y	N	100.0	Ν	41.5
5 Mill Lane, Sawston	R	N	N	83.3	Ν	17.9
1 Catchhall Farm Cottages	R	Y	N	100.0	Ν	24.4
Crafts Way, Bar Hill	R	N	N	91.7	Ν	23.9
Chieftain Way, Arbury Park	R	Y	N	91.7	Ν	21.7
Topper Street, Arbury Park	R	Y	N	100.0	Ν	21.7
Flack End, Arbury Park	R	Y	N	83.3	Ν	25.8
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	Y	100.0	Ν	19.9
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	Y	100.0	Ν	18.9
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	Y	100.0	Ν	21.5
Co-Op, High Street, Histon	R	Y	N	100.0	N	22.2
13 Engledow Drive, Arbury Park	R	Y	N	100.0	N	25.9
22 Topper Street, Arbury Park	R	Y	N	91.7	N	24.0
Church Lane, Little Abington	UB	Y	N	100.0	N	12.7

### Table 2.5Results of NO2 Diffusion Tubes 2012

\_\_\_\_\_

		Within	Annual Mean Concentration (adjusted for bias) µg/m <sup>3</sup>									
Locations	Site Type	AQMA?	2008 (Bias Adj. Factor = 0.8)	2009 (Bias Adj. Factor = 0.8)	2010 (Bias Adj. Factor = 0.85)	2011 (Bias Adj. Factor = 0.84)	2012 (Bias Adj. Factor = 0.79)					
1 The Coppice, Histon	UB	N	21.8	24.5	22.8	20.6	19.8					
The Gables, High Street, Histon	R	N	37.9	39.5	37.6	36.3	33.8					
Hill Farm Cottages, Lolworth	R	Y	-	-	-	39.1	36.7					
White Lion, 96 High Street, Sawston	UB	N	33.6	33.1	32.9	27.8	29.2					
Rhadegund Farm Cottages, Lolworth	R	Y	-	-	-	15.7	22.0					
64 High Street, Linton	R	N	33.7	33.4	33.6	30.7	32.4					
20 High Street, Tadlow	R	N	14.6	15.3	14.5	13	12.4					
47 High Street, Harston	UB	N	27.0	28.1	29.6	23.7	25.6					
3 Garner Close, Milton	UB	N	22.8	24.6	22.6	20.8	20.2					
1A Weavers Field, Girton	UB	Y	34.0	35.6	32.4	32.6	29.5					
Heath House, A505, Thriplow	UB	N	31.5	29.2	29.2	29.1	27.2					
19 Lonetree Avenue, Impington	R	Y	25.0	26.2	25.7	23.7	21.8					
1 Brook Close, Histon	UB	N	26.5	26.0	24.8	21.1	19.5					
22 Water Lane, Histon	R	N	34.7	33.2	35.5	31.2	29.1					
72 Cambridge Road, Impington	UB	Y	27.8	35.9	28.2	25.3	23.1					
Hackers Fruit Farm, Lolworth	R	Y	-	-	-	28.5	41.5					
5 Mill Lane, Sawston	R	N	19.1	19.4	20.1	17.2	17.9					
1 Catchhall Farm Cottages	R	Y	30.1	27.6	36.2	25.6	24.4					
Crafts Way, Bar Hill	R	N	27.0	24.6	30.1	21.4	23.9					
Chieftain Way, Arbury Park	R	Y	30.3	24.6	26.9	22.9	21.7					
Topper Street, Arbury Park	R	Y	28.9	26.0	26.2	22.5	21.7					
Flack End, Arbury Park	R	Y	-	-	30.7	26.3	25.8					
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	23.4	22.9	23.6	21.0	19.9					
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	24.5	22.5	23.7	21.0	18.9					
Orchard Park School, Arbury Park	UB	Y	25.0	23.6	24.8	21.0	21.5					
Co-Op, High Street, Histon	R	Y	-	-	26.6	22.9	22.2					
13 Engledow Drive, Arbury Park	R	Y	-	-	33.3	25.0	25.9					
22 Topper Street, Arbury Park	R	Y	-	-	32.5	23.6	24.0					
Church Lane, Little Abington	UB	Y	-	-	16.5	13.5	12.7					

#### Table 2.6Results of NO2 Diffusion Tubes (2008 to 2012) -

E





Figure 2.4b Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites in µg/m<sup>3</sup>





Figure 2.4c Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Examination of the trend in NO<sub>2</sub> annual means measured across the network of diffusion tubes indicates the concentrations have in general be on decrease since 2010 apart from the measurement taken from High Street, Sawston, Rhadegund Farm Cottage, Lolworth, High Street, Linton and High Street, Harston which slightly exceed that of preceding years. The Hackers Fruit Farm, Lolworth did not only exceed the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of the previous year but also breach the Air Quality objectives. Overall, the trend shows a steady improvement on meeting the Air-Quality objectives for the district.

### 2.2.1 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

In 2012, fine particles were monitored at four different locations within the South Cambridgeshire District Council.

The annual mean  $PM_{10}$  concentrations measured from 2008 – 2012 are presented in Table 2.7 and Figure 2.5.

An annual mean  $PM_{10}$  concentration in excess of 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> objectives was measured at the Impington automatic monitoring site in 2012. However, as this monitoring site is located by a major roadside, it is therefore can't be said to be a full representative of relevant human exposure. The site has always been in excess of the annual mean objective for the last 4 years and is currently within the existing designated AQMA for both NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>. The number of 24-hour mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in excess of the 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> short-term objective; measured from 2008 – 2012 are presented in Table 2.8. This short-term objective was exceeded 180 times at the Impington site which is in excess of the 35 times specified in the objective.

Both the annual and daily mean objectives were achieved at the other monitoring sites which are Girton, Orchard Park and Bar Hill. Although, considering the poor data capture at Bar Hill for 2012 due to an issue with the power supply to the site, it will be deemed inappropriate to capitalized on the result obtained from this particular site.

Table 2.7	Results of Automatic Monitorir	g for PM <sub>10</sub> : Com	nparison with	Annual Mean C	bjective
-----------	--------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------	---------------	----------

			Valid Data	Valid Data		Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )					
Site Name	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Capture for Monitoring Period %	Capture 2012 %	Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent (Y or N/A)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Bar Hill	Roadside	Y	48.0	48.0	Y	36	33	33	23	13	
Impington	Roadside	Y	94.3	94.3	Y	33	41	42	54	58	
Orchard Park	Urban Background	Y	83.3	83.3	Y	N/A	16	17	23	21	
Girton	Girton Roadside N 95.8 95.8		95.8	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	26		

### Figure 2.5a Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations in (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)



Table 2.8	Results of Automatic	Monitoring for	PM <sub>10</sub> : Compa	arison with :	24-hour Mean	Objective
-----------	----------------------	----------------	--------------------------	---------------	--------------	-----------

Site Name	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring Period %	Valid Data Capture 2012 %	Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent (Y	<b>Number of Daily Means &gt; 50µg/m</b> <sup>3</sup> Where data capture <90%, the 90 <sup>th</sup> %ile of daily means has been provided in brackets					
			_		or N/A)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Bar Hill	Roadside	Y	48.0	48.0	Y	52	48	37 (53)	26 (52)	0	
Impington	Roadside	Y	94.3	94.3	Y	43	55	36 (70)	119(70.6)	180	
Orchard Park	Urban Background	Y	83.3	83.3	Y	N/A	0	0 (26)	10	4	
Girton	Roadside	N	95.8	95.8	Y	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	

Figure 2.5b Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> in Comparison with the Daily Mean Objectives in (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)



### 2.2.2 Sulphur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

South Cambridgeshire District Council does not currently monitored sulphur dioxide concentrations.

### 2.2.3 Benzene

South Cambridgeshire District Council does not currently monitored benzene concentrations.

### 2.2.4 Other Pollutants Monitored

South Cambridgeshire District Council monitors  $PM_{2.5}$  at the continuous monitoring station in Bar Hill prior to the eventual closure of the site. However, a new monitoring site for  $PM_{2.5}$  was commissioned December, 2011. The concentrations at both the previous and new site have remained fairly stable throughout the monitoring period. The highest level recorded was  $13\mu g/m^3$  measured in 2012 at the new location. The annual mean concentrations have stabilised at 11 -  $12\mu g/m^3$ . Table 2.9 shows the latest datasets whilst Figure 2.6 shows the annual trends in concentrations.

### Table 2.9 Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

		Proportion		Annual mean concentrations (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )										
Location	Within AQMA?	of year with valid data 2012 %	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012						
Bar Hill	Y	N/A	12	12	12	11	N/A	N/A						
Girton	N	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13						

Figure 2.6: Trends in Annual Mean  $PM_{2.5}$  Measured in (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) at Bar Hill and Girton between 2007 - 2012



### Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives

South Cambridgeshire District Council has examined the results from monitoring in the district. Concentrations outside of the AQMA are all below the objectives at relevant locations, therefore there is no need to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

# 3 New Local Developments

### 3.1 Road Traffic Sources

The number of motor vehicles recorded crossing the County screenline in 2012 was similar to that in 2011. Over the past ten years, the number of traffic crossing the screenline has grown by 5%, although the recent trend has been fairly flat. However, there is a consistent effort by the County and District Councils to improve both the public transport systems and cycle ways.

The A14 remains the busiest road in the county, with an average annual daily flow of over 86,000 vehicles between Dry Drayton and Cambridge. However, on the County's principal road network, the greatest growth in traffic over the past ten years has occurred on the A141 (11%) and the A10 (14%). Although, there was a small reduction in the number of motor vehicles entering and leaving Cambridge in 2012, but there is likelihood of increase in distances travelled within the county over the coming years with serious pollution forecast traceable to major residential development going on in the county.

During the year 2012, 2.75 million passenger journeys were made on the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway improving access into Cambridge and help to reduce rush hour congestion on the A14.

There are six major on-going projects of which new road infrastructures, including local access roads and upgrades to existing infrastructure are required. These are:

- 1) North West Cambridge (University Site)
- 2) Orchard Park
- 3) Bayer Crop Science Site
- 4) Trumpington Meadows
- 5) Windmill Estate, Fulbourn
- 6) Cambourne

However, prior to the South Cambridgeshire District Council granting planning permission for these projects, the issue of air quality has already been discussed during the Pre- application stage and where necessary, study had been requested by the Council of any impact as it regards air quality such development is going to generate. Those reports were considered with the conclusion that none of such development will bring about any negative air quality impact in spite the fact each of the above developments will contain new road structures with the potential to significantly increase road traffic and domestic emissions. However, where necessary, air quality monitoring for such development had been recommended by the Council with further mitigation method such as developing a Low Emission Strategy adopted

in the hope of not only improving the quality of air within and around the such development site but at the same time to enhance the quality of air within the District.

In addition to the above, major schedules of upgrades are proposed for the A14 within South Cambridgeshire as part of an extensive upgrades that will also include Huntingdonshire. The proposed upgrades should help to ease congestion through the Districts' AQMA. These proposals include:

- Widening of the A14 carriageway between Fen Drayton and Histon increasing the number of lanes from 2 to 3 on both Eastbound and Westbound carriageways should help to alleviate congestion and speed traffic through-flow.
- Widening of the A14 carriageway between Histon and Fen Ditton

A detailed air quality assessment was submitted in draft by the Highways Agency in 2010 for which detailed comments were returned by the Council.

This improvement to the A14 form part of the Councils' Priority Actions within the AQAP, and are deemed to be an essential works in improving the air quality along the A14, which will lead to reduction in pollutant concentrations in and around the existing AQMA declared by the Council.

However, given that there is still an on-going discussion by the government as it regards the A14 improvement project which forms the core action plan by the council in the last Progress Report in 2011, the Council Action Plan will be review over the coming months in other to set an additional priority measures to those set at the time that will act as a buffer pending the commencement of the improvement work.

South Cambridgeshire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified sources of pollution from road traffic sources which have the potential to increase vehicle emissions. Each development proposal was subject to an extensive and detailed air quality impact assessment prior approving such application with none having potential to impact the District Air Quality negatively. Discussion regarding the proposals for upgrading A14 is still on-going.

### 3.2 Other Transport Sources

South Cambridgeshire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified sources of pollution from road traffic sources although there are major developments on-going for the District which have the potential to increase vehicle emissions. However, review of monitoring records around the development sites shows no negative sign whilst the area is still a subject of an on-going extensive and detailed air quality impact assessment. Discussion regarding the proposals for upgrading A14 is still on-going.

### 3.3 Industrial Sources

South Cambridgeshire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified sources of pollution from industry which may have an impact on air quality within the Local Authority area.

# 3.4 Commercial and Domestic Sources

South Cambridgeshire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified sources of pollution from commercial and domestic premises which may have an impact on air quality within the Local Authority area.

# 3.5 New Developments with Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources

South Cambridgeshire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified local developments which may have an impact on air quality within the Local Authority area.

# 4 Local / Regional Air Quality Strategy

As noted in the last Progress Report, South Cambridgeshire District Council adopted a Local Air Quality Strategy in September 2008. The Local Air Quality Strategy has been produced in order to give a platform upon which the local air quality within the District can be improved by bringing together all those with an interest or responsibility for air quality.

The Local Air Quality Strategy aims:

- To achieve National Air Quality Standards
- To improve local air quality
- To carry out the above using cost effective and sustainable methods
- Raise awareness of and promote air quality issues and sustainable environments
- To emphasize the role South Cambridgeshire District Council has in improving air quality within the District
- To work together to achieve our goals
- To encourage partnerships between local industry, businesses and residents
- To raise the profile of air quality amongst the wider community and
- Where possible, to suggest objectives for continued good working practices and link the varying appropriate Council Policies, Plans and Strategies to the Air Quality Strategy

However, as part of the overall quality management of the Strategy work, it is important to consider the need to review it from time to time. Therefore, given the speed of change of Planning Policy relating to emissions, the current strategy (which was relevant for 5 years - 2009 to 2014) is currently under review to incorporate recent updates and changes. Whilst, a full review of all aspects of the Strategy will take place in 2014, relevant updates will take place regularly where there are:

- Changes to existing legislation, Regulations or the National Air Quality Strategy,
- Introduction of new legislation or Regulations,
- Changes in local circumstances (for example, revocation of an AQMA),
- Introduction of new sources of industrial emissions
- Updates or changes to existing Council policy and/or guidance (including Cambridgeshire County Council)
- Introduction of new, relevant Council policies and/or guidance (including Cambridgeshire County Council)

The Strategy is available to view online at:

http://www.scambs.gov.uk/sites/www.scambs.gov.uk/files/documents/Air%20Quality%20Strategy.pdf

# 5 Planning Applications

In addition to the six major previously identified on – going projects within the South Cambridgeshire District Council in this report, there are few other sites of which the Council has received planning applications for major development which might have an impact on the local air quality within the district.

### 1. Cambridge East

This is an allocated major mixed – use development on the edge of Cambridge including the land within South Cambridgeshire District and Cambridge City Council. The two Councils jointly adopted the Cambridge East Area Action Plan in February 2008 which planned for a new urban quarter to Cambridge with early phase of development North of Newmarket Road. The whole site has a capacity of 10,000 to 12,000 dwellings with the preparation for planning application announced by 2012.

### 2. Northstowe

Northstowe, in its current form, is a proposed new town comprising 9,500 new homes (and a wide range of facilities), which will become a community of up to 24,000 people. The site covers 427 hectares, and is located near Longstanton and Oakington. It lies approximately 3km north of the A14 and the existing Air Quality Management Area. At present, the full development is still proposed although it will be impacted by the lack of important infrastructure improvements that it is reliant upon, specifically upgrades to the A14, which is currently under consideration.

The development will cover an area of approximately 605 Hectares with 22,800m<sup>2</sup> floor space set aside for hotels and indoor leisure facilities, 49,500m<sup>2</sup> floor space for A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5 retail use with 156,000 m<sup>2</sup> floor spaces set aside for B1, B2, B8 and *sui generis* industrial and commercial uses.

Northstowe has been granted Government approval as an eco-town, therefore, all measures to mitigate impacts on air quality must be considered. It is envisaged that the town will obtain a substantial amount of renewable energy from a community-wide biomass Combined Cooling, Heat and Power (CCHP) plant although a range of renewable sources will be considered.

The existing Local Development Framework, Core Strategy and Area Action Plan all put Northstowe at the centre of the development strategy for the area, and all confirm that the new development will have enhanced environmental standards. The Area Action Plan in particular contains Policy NS/23 – "An Exemplar in Sustainability" which states that:

"Northstowe will include within the development exemplar projects in sustainable development, including energy efficient measures. This could be achieved by:

- a. Providing an increased level of sustainability across the development as a whole above current requirements to a material extent;
- b. Building a proportion of the development to advanced practice which fully addresses sustainability issues and minimises any environmental impact by pushing at the boundaries of the proven technology available at the time of the development."

This Policy, along with the Councils' SPD for Low Emissions Strategies will ensure that the development will take place with the highest regard to environmental issues, including air quality and emissions. A recently successful grant application has provided for a study to consider a Low Emission Strategy, exploring best practice for the public realm and street design.

The policy framework that the future applications will be assessed against is contained within the Northstowe Area Action Plan, Development Control Policies adopted July 2007 and the Core Strategy adopted January 2007. The Northstowe planning applications will be assessed against these policies and other Government circulars and guidance.

### 3. Fulbourn & Ida Darwin Hospitals

This is going to be a major development in the Green Belt allocated in the Site Specific Policies DPD (adopted in January 2010) for redevelopment for housing. When completed, the site could provide up to 275 dwellings and will be developed in phases. Discussions relating to the master planning of the site are in progress with development likely to commence by 2014.

# 6 Air Quality Planning Policies

South Cambridgeshire District Council has been working on a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), forming part of the Local Development Framework (LDF). One Section of this relates specifically to air quality and low emissions, specifically, the requirement for new developers to consider and produce a Low Emissions Strategy (LES) for their project. It is intended to bring together the Council, the County Council, the Highways Agency through the improved use of s106 agreements for developers in working towards improving the local air quality and reducing emissions. In addition, it will help towards achieving the target within the new National Indicator NI194 as stated in the last Progress Report.

The South Cambridgeshire Local Development Framework contains Policy NE/16, relating to emissions and air quality. The policy reads:

*"1. Development proposals will need to have regard to any emissions arising from the proposed use and seek to minimise those emissions to control any risks arising and prevent any detriment to the local amenity by locating such development appropriately.* 

2. Where significant increases in emissions covered by nationally prescribed air quality objectives are proposed, the applicant will need to assess the impact on local air quality by undertaking an appropriate modelling exercise to show that the national objectives will still be achieved. Development will not be permitted where it would adversely affect air quality in an Air Quality Management Area."

Emissions will be considered where:

- The Council identify any developments that have the potential to contribute significant emissions to the local area
- Any developments is within or adjacent to an AQMA boundary
- Proposals are that will result in increased congestion, a change in traffic volumes an AADT or peak traffic flow, which increases by more than 5% for roads with more than 10,000 AADT.
- Proposals which change the traffic composition (i.e. increase the proportion of HGV's)
- Proposals that include car parking or the increase in provision for more than 300 spaces
- Developments that could give rise to significant dust emissions in areas where people and/or commercial activities could be exposed.

- Pre-application discussions with the developer to exchange ideas and determine the extent of the LES and possible contributions towards air quality improvements using S.106 agreements
- Low Emissions Strategy to be submitted with Planning Applications.

# 7 Local Transport Plans and Strategies

Where road traffic is the primary source of pollution leading to declaration of an AQMA, Defra and Department for Transport (DfT) recommend that Action Plans are integrated into Local Transport Plans (LTP) so that as much synergy as possible is achieved between transport planning and air quality management at a local level, such that air quality is dealt with in a more corporate and multi-disciplinary way.

As stated in 2011 Progress Report, Cambridgeshire County Council adopted Transport Plan (LTP3) in March 2011 after a long consultative process, which involved South Cambridgeshire District Council and the District Authorities of Huntingdonshire, Cambridge City, East Cambridgeshire and Fenland. The new Plan, covering the period 2011 – 2026 replaces LTP2, which covered the period 2006 – 2011. It is one of a number of planning and transport plans and strategies for Cambridgeshire and the East of England aimed at ensuring that large-scale development can take place in the county in a sustainable way.

The LTP seeks to encourage all areas where air quality improvements can be made such as Travel for Work Partnerships, increase in passenger numbers on public transport services and improve to public transport infrastructure. The document also identify areas where particular benefits might be gained in Cambridgeshire, and where these will or could fall under the remit of our Full LTP programme for delivery or facilitation. However, many of the engineering and enforcement options available for the A14 fall under the jurisdiction of the Highways Agency.

The main aims of the LTP3 is to "create a communities where people want to live and work, now and in the future" in innovative ways whilst understanding and tackling the challenges of the current economic climate.

The Plan contains a number of challenges and objectives. Air quality becomes the focus of Objective / Challenge 7: "Protecting and enhancing the natural environment by minimising the environmental impact of transport". This provides a strategy to protect and enhance the environment but focuses primarily on working with local District Councils to improve air quality and take actions that will help to achieve the national air quality objectives. This is to be achieved by:

- Managing and reducing vehicle emissions and encouraging the uptake of sustainable modes of transport,

- Investigation into the use and uptake of new vehicle technologies as and when they become available,

- Managing demand (including private and public vehicle journeys),
- Carbon reduction programmes, including:
  - > Implementation, review and upgrades to policies and strategies,
  - > Improving and expanding smarter choices with regards to transport,
  - > Improvements to sustainable travel options and
  - > Management of private vehicle use.

South Cambridgeshire District Council recognizes the importance of the LTP document as a tool to help improve air quality and quality of life for local communities. The Council will continue to work closely with Cambridgeshire County Council in order that the visions, objectives and challenges set out within LTP3 can be achieved in partnership. There has been no further update since the adopted Transport Plan (LTP3) in March 2011.

# 8 Climate Change Strategies

### 8.1 Climate Change Action Plan

As stated in the 2011 Progress Report, SCDC signed the Nottingham Declaration in 2002 whilst its Climate Plan was published in 2005. However, there has been series of changes especially in terms of the required extent and urgency of response to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and preparation for the effects of climate change. We have seen a very significant shift in national policy and developments since the Climate Change Act 2008 was published in the statute books with its legally binding UK target of an 80% reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions by 2050.

The new local government performance framework (first reported in 2008/09) introduced three very specific climate change national indicators: NI 186 - per capita  $CO_2$  emissions for the local authority area; NI 188 - extent of preparedness to respond to the impacts of climate change, and; NI185 - direct  $CO_2$  emissions from local authority operations.

As a result of this, the Councils' Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) was adopted in the autumn of 2010, replacing the 2005 Climate Plan. Its primary objectives are to:

• Reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions by 34% by 2020 and by 80% by 2050 (from a 1990 baseline), as legislated within the delivery of the Climate Change Act 2008 and;

• Build the required preparedness and resilience to the levels of climate change that the Council are already committed to from excess atmospheric carbon emissions to date. The Plan incorporates an approach and a set of actions that aim to reduce carbon emissions and improve resilience to climate change across South Cambridgeshire in an increasingly climate-stressed world. The approach provides the practical framework for decision-making as the pressures to manage a successful transition to low-carbon living in a low-carbon economy continue to escalate. The actions provide an outline work schedule of what will be delivered over the three year period of the plan. The areas targeted are detailed in sections 8.1.1 to 8.1.5, below:

### 8.1.1 Waste Management and Recycling

South Cambridgeshire District Council holds Beacon Status for its waste management practises and the County was awarded a prestigious 'green flag' following its recent Comprehensive Area Assessment Review. In 2011/12 the district was the sixteenth highest

performing authority in England for percentage of household waste used/recycled/composted at 58.26%. The Council will continue to look to improve the service and has recently rolled out kerbside plastic recycling for which new wheelie-bins have been provided, following a strong community engagement and consultation.

#### 8.1.2 Fuel Poverty

Fuel poverty can lead to increased risks of ill health and a poorer quality of life. It is essential that any property is kept free from the cold and damp. Low income households spending more than 10% of its income on domestic heating systems are considered to be in a situation of fuel poverty. Under the Housing Health and Safety Rating System (HHSRS), category 1 hazards (including cold and damp housing) are identified and remedied with the availability of a capital grant fund.

In addition, the Council has been taking steps to tackle fuel poverty within its housing stock as a result of the Home Energy Conservation Act (HECA) 1995. Where possible, grant support is offered and work continues with the Energy Saving Trust to promote and achieve carbon reduction targets. New national indicators for carbon reduction (NI 186) and reduction in fuel poverty (NI 187) are likely to replace HECA in the future as a method of reporting.

### 8.1.3 Energy Efficiency in the Council's housing stock

Works have been carried out on Council stock in order to improve the SAP ratings and generate CO<sub>2</sub> savings. These works have included (where possible):

- double glazing to all properties,
- replacement of boilers over 5 years old,
- cavity wall and loft insulation

There is also an on-going promotion of renewable energy heating technologies with a growing uptake such as solar hot water heating systems and air-to-water air source heating systems.

However, continued expansion of the above measures is likely to be constrained by the current budgetary climate although, a new scheme introduced by the Government (Clean Energy Cash back) may provide some extra incentive for switching to cleaner fuel technologies.

### 8.1.4 Land-use planning

South Cambridgeshire District Council adopted a District Design Guide SPD in March 2010. It includes a section on reducing carbon dioxide emissions, setting out the relevant strategy and policy that will be used to combat climate change from new developments. Applicants should demonstrate how their proposals will maximise the incorporation of energy

conservation and efficiency measures – aiming for a minimum 10% reduction in  $CO_2/m^2/year$  compared to the current 2006 Building Regulations target. Applicants are also encouraged to show as high a level of the Code for Sustainable Buildings as possible.

All applicants will be expected to carry out calculations of energy consumption using SAP or SBAM methodologies and incorporate designs to make use of energy efficiency measures and heat conservation.

In addition, the SPD covers renewable energy sources and makes reference to LDF Policy NE/3 within which all proposals with an area greater than 1000m<sup>2</sup> or to include >10 dwellings are required to ensure that a minimum of 10% of the energy requirements are met through renewable technologies. Applicants are also encouraged to consider site-wide renewable energy technologies. The SPD also promotes the installation of CHP and CCHP power plants in a drive towards zero carbon developments.

### 8.1.5 South Cambridgeshire Sustainable Parish Energy Partnership (SPEP)

Established in February 2009 and still active as at the time of written this report though initially intend to be a three year programme. The partnership actively involves in engaging the local communities, tackling the issues of climate change as well as dealing with sustainability and reducing fuel bills.

Whilst the initial funding for this project has been withdrawn, the District Council has continued to undertake the task which was separated into 2 phases at the beginning. However, the partnership is now more focused on home energy services which offer assessment, Energy Company Obligation (ECO) grant and Green Deal with the green deal provider.

# 9 Implementation of Action Plans

Air quality within AQMAs is likely to be influenced by factors beyond local authority boundaries. Air Quality Action Plans may often need to complement those of adjoining authorities. Defra recommend that local authorities consider drawing up regional air quality action plans, where appropriate, and have endorsed preparation of a Joint Air Quality Action Plan for the AQMAs within Cambridge City, Huntingdonshire District Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council.

The Districts have completed a table comprising of approximately 80 actions that will have a positive impact upon air quality. These are currently in place or planned for the near future by the County and all District Councils. Some actions are specifically designed to improve air quality, but many of the actions have been initiated to tackle other areas, for example climate change or reducing congestion. They have been arranged into the following themes:

- Managing the network
- Lowering emissions
- Strategic Planning
- Development Control
- Smarter Travel Choices
- Raising Awareness

Each District produced a list of five or more individual actions, or packages of measures that will in their opinion have the most beneficial impact on air quality within their area. This list is not exhaustive as there are many other options put forward within the AQAP that may be implemented. For South Cambridgeshire District Council, the 5 priority actions identified include:

- Completion and opening of the Cambridgeshire Guided Bus way.
- Widening of the A14 carriageway between Fen Drayton and Histon increasing the number of lanes from 2 to 3 on both Eastbound and Westbound carriageways should help to alleviate congestion and speed traffic through-flow.
- Re-alignment of the A14 and the construction of a local road, between the M11 and Bar Hill junctions as part of the A14 Improvement Scheme.

- Establish a Freight Quality Partnership the South Cambridgeshire District Council's Further Assessment of air quality along the A14 has identified HGVs as having the greatest impact on air quality in the District. If improvements in air quality are to be achieved on the A14 between Bar Hill and Milton, it is vital that the Council seeks to give an understanding of local air quality issues to freight operators who may in turn be able to offer invaluable input into reducing emissions from their fleet.
- Embedding the LDF Air Quality Policy in Local Development Documents this will ensure that air quality is considered at the planning stage and therefore not adversely impacted by new development.

However, the Cambridgeshire Guided bus way has since be completed with the Council now considering adding a new action plans to the one set during the last progress report in 2011 in the hope improving and enhancing the air quality level within the District.

Whilst there has been a temporary delay in the planned improvements to the A14, effort has now been put in place by government to commence the project. However, South Cambridgeshire District Council will continue to work with the Highways Agency, who has overall control of the A14, in order to identify feasible actions to help improve air quality within the AQMA but in the meantime, the Council will review the Air Quality Action Plan and identify other actions that can be brought forward as priority actions.

The **Anglian Regions' Freight Quality Partnership (FQP)** meets every 2 months and South Cambridgeshire District Council is now dedicated to attending the meetings. Prior to the Councils' involvement, air quality had not been given much of a voice at the FQP and so it is hoped that the Council involvement will increase awareness, interest and commitment to reducing emissions as well as aiding the reduction of pollution along the A14.

The Councils' Air Quality Policy is embedded within the Local Development Framework with an additional Supplementary Planning Document targeting Low Emissions Strategies also adopted. These Policies will be regularly reviewed and strengthened where necessary.

Table 9.1 shows progress (where applicable) on the 5 main priorities.

No.	Measure	Focus	Lead authority	Planning phase	Implementation phase	Indicator	Target annual emission reduction in the AQMA	Progress to date	Progress in last 12 months	Estimated completion date	Comments relating to emission reductions
1	Completion and opening of the Cambridgeshire Guided Bus way.	Reduce unit emissions in the AQMA by reducing private vehicle mileage on the A14	Cambridgeshire County Council	2009 - 2010	2011	N/A	No target emissions set	Construction of bus stops and route now completed	Construction of bus stops and route now completed	2011	Improvement on Air Quality Objective Pollutants within the District
2	Widening of the A14 carriageway between Fen Drayton and Histon – increasing the number of lanes from 2 to 3 on both Eastbound and Westbound carriageways should help to alleviate congestion and speed traffic through-flow.	Reduce unit emissions in the AQMA by alleviating congestion on the A14 around Cambridge	Cambridgeshire County Council	N/A	N/A	Approval of planning application	No target emissions set	Plans delayed under the Spending Review of 2010	Plans delayed under the Spending Review of 2010 but plan to kick start the project again put in place in the last three months	N/A	The draft Environmental Statement submitted in 2010 showed that, as a result of the scheme, air quality will improve in much of the AQMA but may worsen slightly in other areas. However, modelling shows that all Objectives will be met by 2015 at relevant locations within the AQMA
3	Re-alignment of the A14 and the construction of a local road, between the M11 and Bar Hill junctions as part of the A14 Improvement Scheme.	Reduce unit emissions in the AQMA by alleviating congestion on the A14 around Cambridge	Cambridgeshire County Council	N/A	N/A	Approval of planning application	No target emissions set	Plans delayed under the Spending Review of 2010	Plans delayed under the Spending Review of 2010 but set to commence shortly	N/A	The draft Environmental Statement submitted in 2010 showed that, as a result of the scheme, air quality will improve in much of the AQMA but may worsen slightly in other

### Table 9.1Action Plan Progress

No.	Measure	Focus	Lead authority	Planning phase	Implementation phase	Indicator	Target annual emission reduction in the AQMA	Progress to date	Progress in last 12 months	Estimated completion date	Comments relating to emission reductions
											areas. However, modelling shows that all Objectives will be met by 2015 at relevant locations within the AQMA
4	Establish a Freight Quality Partnership	Reduce unit emissions by working with freight operators, Highways Agency and County Council	South Cambridgeshire District Council	2009 - 2010	2010	Member commitment by 2009, partnership Established by 2012	No target emissions set	Identification of existing FQP and regular attendance at meetings	Regular attendance at meetings	On-going	Improvement in vehicle technology and driver behaviour within the AQMA
5	Embedding the LDF Air Quality Policy in Local Development Documents	Reduce unit emissions by improving emissions from local developments	South Cambridgeshire District Council	2009 - 2010	2010	LDF Policy NE/16, use of Low Emission Strategy SPD	No target emissions set	SPD for Low Emissions Strategy developed and adopted.	SPD for Low Emissions Strategy developed and adopted.	On-going – SCDC will continually review use of the SPD and LDF Policy NE/16	Major development is proposed for the District. Use of the SPD and LDF Policy NE/16 will minimise the impact of the developments on local emissions

# **10** Conclusions and Proposed Actions

# **10.1** Conclusions from New Monitoring Data

Recent monitoring data has shown that exceedences of the daily mean objective for  $PM_{10}$  occurred in 2012 at Impington monitoring station (within the Air Quality Management Area). Although the new monitoring data shows a significant improvement on the previous years, monitoring work will continue within the AQMA with the possibility to expand the monitoring network at every opportunity.

Monitoring and assessment of areas within the District and outside the AQMA has shown that, there are currently no other areas of concern and no reason to carry out further or detailed assessment. The results are summarised below:

- At Impington, both the annual and the daily mean objective for PM<sub>10</sub> was exceeded. 58µg/m<sup>3</sup> for the annual mean and (180 days where concentrations were calculated to be >50µg/m<sup>3</sup>) for the daily mean. However, all other objectives were met at the site.
- At Bar Hill, the data capture for PM<sub>10</sub> was as low as 48%. However, there were no exceedences for any of the PM<sub>10</sub> objectives. Although, this figure is being treated with caution given the low data capture for the year due to an issue with the power supply to the site. The data is not adequate enough and is not being used for a comparison against the objectives within this report. Albeit, all other objectives were met.
- All air quality objectives for NO<sub>2</sub> were achieved at Impington and Bar Hill in 2012.
- At Orchard Park and Girton, all air quality objectives were met both for NO2 and PM10
- Data capture for 2012 has been pretty good and encouraging compared to previous years for both passive and automatic monitoring work.

# **10.2** Conclusions relating to New Local Developments

Since the Updating and Screening Assessment Report of 2012, there have been no new local developments that have had a significant impact on local air quality. However, there are on-going major developments for SCDC with various other planning applications for major projects in the pipeline. These developments are subject to full and detailed air quality assessments prior any planning permission.

The Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) "High Quality and Sustainable Development in South Cambridgeshire" was adopted in March 2010 and contains a chapter dedicated to air quality. All new major developments will be required to satisfy the expectations of the SPD, which includes local planning policy and the requirements for the developers to take part in and submit a Low Emissions Strategy for their developments. This will ensure that sustainable development within the District is approached in a consistent manner with maximum value placed on mitigation measures and innovative steps to reduce emissions from new developments.

### 10.3 Other Conclusions

This review and assessment process has not identified any new sources of pollution that need further assessment. The air quality in and around the A14 between Bar Hill and Milton still requires improvement to achieve the national air quality objectives. The designation of the Air Quality Management Area along this stretch of the A14 has led to the development of an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP).

Progress towards achieving targets set within the AQAP has been slower than expected due to the initial set back to the proposed improvements to the A14 and impacts of the Spending Review. However, 1 of the 5 priority action plans has been achieved with an on-going commitment to the rest of which two of them is constantly within achievement through our planning and freight quality partnership. Therefore, over the next 12 months, the improvement measures detailed within the AQAP will be reviewed and a new set of priority targets identified and incorporated to the Council Action Plan for improving the Quality of Air within the District.

### 10.4 Proposed Actions

The existing Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) is for  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{10}$ . This report has not identified any need for the AQMA to be updated, modified or revoked. However, the continuous exceedence of the annual and daily mean for  $PM_{10}$  at Impington is currently being considered. Effort is been made on what additional measures can be taken to improve the level of  $PM_{10}$  in the area.

One priority action for 2013 is to continue improving the data capture at each analyser. This will be carried out in liaison with the overseeing equipment service contractors. Equipment will continue to be serviced, maintained, inspected and cleaned as per the manufacturer's instructions. However, where equipment is in need of repair and may be out long-term, replacement analysers will be required. Moreover, equipment that passes its lifetime will be decommissioned and funding for new equipment will be sought.

Monitoring within the AQMA will continue with possible extensions to the monitoring network. Replacement equipment has already been proposed for the out of date particulate matter analyser at Impington whilst in and around the proposed new major development site, additional monitoring stations are been recommended and will be settled through a S.106

Agreement when the proposals are decided and agreed. This will include an NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tube network within and around such developments.

For all development proposals, South Cambridgeshire District Council will continue to improve, strengthen and utilise existing policy on emissions. For major developments, the requirement for individual air quality impact assessments will continue with the requirement that the developer recognises the potential for infrastructure changes beyond their boundaries and the potential for cumulative impacts on a local area.

It is recognised that our partnership working with the Highways Agency is vital for the progress towards achieving the targets set within the AQAP. Therefore, our participation with the Agency on any of the immediate and future air quality monitoring and assessment will continue to be enhanced and developed.

The AQAP is to be reviewed and updated during 2014 in order that further priority actions can be identified whilst work towards their implementation can be progressed. This will prevent the progress of the Action Plan from stagnating due to the temporary delay of the planned improvements to the A14.

This Progress Report has not identified any changes in circumstances that require further work that is not currently in progress.

### 11 References

Air Quality Regulations 2000 and (Amendment) regulations 2002 HMSO

Air Quality Action Plan for the Cambridgeshire Growth Areas (2010) South Cambridgeshire District Council, Huntingdonshire District Council, Cambridge City Council

Traffic Monitoring Report 2012 Cambridgeshire County Council http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/transport/monitoring/Traffic+Monitoring+Report.htm

Cambridgeshire Authorities Progress Report (2007) South Cambridgeshire District Council, Huntingdonshire District Council, East Cambridgeshire District Council, Cambridge City Council, Fenland District Council, Cambridgeshire County Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/aqreports.htm

Cambridgeshire Authorities Progress Report (2008) South Cambridgeshire District Council, Huntingdonshire District Council, East Cambridgeshire District Council, Cambridge City Council, Fenland District Council, Cambridgeshire County Council

Deriving NO<sub>2</sub> from NO<sub>x</sub> for Air Quality Assessments of Roads – Updated to 2006 Air Quality Consultants

Local Air Quality Management, Policy Guidance LAQM. PG (09) (2009) Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Local Air Quality Management, Technical Guidance LAQM. TG (09) (2009) Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Local transport Plan (LTP) 3: 2011 – 2026, Cambridgeshire County Council (2011) http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/transport/strategies/local/

Progress Report (2010), South Cambridgeshire District Council, http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/aqreports.htm

Progress Report (2011), South Cambridgeshire District Council, http://www.scambs.gov.uk/sites/www.scambs.gov.uk/files/documents/Progress%20Report% 202011%20Final.pdf

The Environment Act 1995, HMSO

The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (2000) Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

The Detailed Assessment of Nitrogen Dioxide along the A14 Corridor (2006) South Cambridgeshire District Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/aqreports.htm The Detailed Assessment of PM<sub>10</sub> along the A14 Corridor (2007), South Cambridgeshire District Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/agreports.htm

The Further Assessment of Nitrogen Dioxide and PM<sub>10</sub> along the A14 Corridor (2008) South Cambridgeshire District Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/agreports.htm

The Climate Change Action Plan (2010), South Cambridgeshire District Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Energy/climatechangeactionplan.htm

Updating and Screening Assessment (2009), South Cambridgeshire District Council http://www.scambs.gov.uk/Environment/Pollution/AirPollution/aqreports.htm

Updating and Screening Assessment (2012), South Cambridgeshire District Council, http://www.scambs.gov.uk/sites/www.scambs.gov.uk/files/documents/ED57938003%20Sout h%20Cambs%202012%20USA%20Report%20Final.pdf

# Appendices

Appendix 1: QA/QC Data Appendix 2: Map of AQMA Appendix 3: NO<sub>2</sub> monthly mean measured at diffusion tubes sites 2012

# Appendix 1: QA: QC Data

### **Diffusion Tube Monitoring**

• Suppliers and Analysing lab:

Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG) Unit 12, Moorbrook Southmead Industrial Estate Didcot Oxfordshire OX11 7HP

- Diffusion tube preparation method used: 50:50 (acetone:triethanolamine)
- Scientifics Ltd confirm that the methods and procedures they follow meet the guidelines set out in Defras' "Diffusion Tubes for Ambient Monitoring: Practical Guidance". A copy of the confirmation is provided in Appendix 1.
- From the "Summary of Laboratory Performance in WASP R98-102" (prepared by AEA on behalf of Defra, 2008), the performance of Scientifics Ltd against both the old and new criteria was rated as "good".

### Diffusion tube bias adjustment factors:

#### National bias adjustment factor

• South Cambridgeshire District Council uses the bias adjustment factor provided on the Air Quality Archive web database. The factor used for 2012 is 0.79. This is quoted as the national average for the year.

### Factor from Local Co-location Studies (if available)

 One triplicate co-location study was conducted within the district during 2012 at Orchard Park School. A co-location factor of 0.83 was calculated from this study. This is relatively closer to the bias adjustment factor reported in the national database of co-location factor for ESG during 2012.

#### **Discussion of Choice of Factor to use**

• The adjustment factor from the national database of co-location studies has been used to bias adjusts the 2012 diffusion tube results. This is consistent with the approach used to adjust South Cambridgeshire District Council's diffusion tube results in previous years.

#### PM Monitoring Adjustment

The BAMs in use in South Cambridgeshire are non-gravimetric with heated inlets. In order that  $PM_{10}$  data is supplied as gravimetric equivalent, all  $PM_{10}$  data has been multiplied by a factor of 1.3.

### QA/QC of automatic monitoring

- QA/QC of automatic monitoring data is carried out by AEA Technology (<u>http://www.aeat.co.uk</u>).
- > An annual audit of the monitoring stations is carried out by AEA Technology.
- The equipment suppliers carry services out bi-annually. In the case of Bar Hill and Impington, this is Air Monitors Ltd whilst the site at Orchard Park School and Impington is serviced by Enviro-Technology.
- The sites are manually calibrated on a bi-monthly basis by the Local Site Operative. The output from the calibrations is forwarded to AEAT.
- South Cambridgeshire District Council is a member of the Calibration Club, operated by AEAT.
- > All NOx analysers are chemiluminescence analysers
- All particulate matter analysers are BAMs. In line with current guidance, BAM data is multiplied by 1.3 to give the gravimetric equivalent.

# Appendix 2: Map of AQMA



2012	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	MEAN	x. Bias	Adj. M	% data capture
The Coppice	26.3	31.4	30.5	19.3	16.6	13.7	17.6	21.3	19.8	23.4	39.7	40.9	25.0	0.79	19.8	100.0
The Gables, Histon	49.7	47.3	55.9	41.4	29.0	29.8	40.5	37.3	38.1	39.4	47.1	57.5	42.8	0.79	33.8	100.0
Hill Farm Cot., Lolwort	66.5	61.1	53.7	47.9	23.8	29.9	47.2	41.1	46.9	44.2	51.7	43.4	46.5	0.79	36.7	100.0
High St Sawston	46.6	45.0	46.6	Missing	Missing	24.1	15.3	36.8	31.4	38.7	45.8	38.4	36.9	0.79	29.2	83.3
Rhadegund farm Cot,I	34.6	32.5	40.7	23.9	38.8	23.4	16.9	16.2	20.7	25.0	29.2	33.4	27.9	0.79	22.0	100.0
Linton	46.8	48.6	51.7	34.2	26.8	30.6	Missing	31.3	37.4	39.1	47.2	57.4	41.0	0.79	32.4	91.7
Tadlow	20.7	24.7	21.2	11.6	9.3	6.2	8.2	10.9	13.8	14.6	23.6	23.0	15.7	0.79	12.4	100.0
Harston	39.7	38.1	45.6	23.8	28.2	20.3	22.0	26.8	21.4	33.1	41.8	47.4	32.4	0.79	25.6	100.0
Milton	30.9	36.0	34.0	17.8	16.8	14.0	15.1	19.0	21.3	25.8	36.5	39.4	25.6	0.79	20.2	100.0
Girton	53.8	50.3	45.0	26.7	24.7	26.7	27.8	16.1	35.0	34.6	45.0	61.7	37.3	0.79	29.5	100.0
Thriplow	44.5	43.3	44.6	29.6	26.3	19.6	22.0	13.6	27.7	30.3	50.9	60.5	34.4	0.79	27.2	100.0
Lone Tree Av	37.4	36.7	32.6	23.6	19.6	17.4	19.1	12.4	24.4	28.2	34.7	45.6	27.6	0.79	21.8	100.0
Brook Close Histon	35.5	32.9	35.4	19.6	15.8	14.5	19.8	10.5	22.5	25.0	33.1	31.8	24.7	0.79	19.5	100.0
Water Lane	52.9	41.8	51.2	30.8	23.5	18.3	27.6	Missing	Missing	35.1	49.7	37.5	36.8	0.79	29.1	83.3
Cambridge Rd	Missing	37.4	38.4	25.1	20.5	16.5	22.5	26.1	25.9	25.6	40.4	42.8	29.2	0.79	23.1	91.7
Hackers Fruit farm, L.	55.1	60.7	65.8	48.2	65.9	50.3	37.5	34.3	39.4	55.1	49.6	68.0	52.5	0.79	41.5	100.0
Mill Lane	26.8	31.4	27.8	Missing	Missing	11.6	14.7	17.3	19.2	18.8	28.3	31.3	22.7	0.79	17.9	83.3
1 Catchall Farm Cotts	32.0	22.3	35.9	32.5	54.9	27.9	22.1	23.6	24.1	34.3	28.8	32.7	30.9	0.79	24.4	100.0
Crafts Way, Bar Hill	35.1	39.4	Missing	28.1	33.4	20.2	19.4	22.3	25.5	30.2	33.2	44.9	30.2	0.79	23.9	91.7
Chieftain Way	32.7	34.1	37.8	22.2	22.2	15.3	16.0	Missing	21.3	23.6	33.4	44.2	27.5	0.79	21.7	91.7
Topper Street	37.1	38.6	37.9	22.1	23.3	16.2	16.1	16.9	24.4	24.3	39.0	34.6	27.5	0.79	21.7	100.0
Flack End	46.0	43.2	43.7	30.0	29.2	19.6	12.2	18.7	Missing	Missing	38.5	45.2	32.6	0.79	25.8	83.3
Orchard Pk School	39.0	32.7	32.0	21.8	20.3	14.5	16.1	17.5	21.9	23.8	33.9	30.0	25.3	0.79	19.9	100.0
Orchard Pk School	34.5	30.3	30.6	20.0	18.8	12.2	22.0	17.2	22.0	23.1	23.2	33.1	23.9	0.79	18.9	100.0
Orchard Pk School	38.4	36.1	34.9	23.8	21.3	13.8	21.5	18.6	25.3	23.9	31.7	36.8	27.2	0.79	21.5	100.0
Co-op Histon	36.5	36.8	38.1	23.7	20.0	18.5	19.6	21.0	23.9	22.6	40.4	35.6	28.1	0.79	22.2	100.0
Engledow Drive	45.1	46.9	43.1	30.6	27.4	19.1	19.2	21.5	30.4	30.6	36.9	44.3	32.9	0.79	25.9	100.0
Topper Street o/s 22	41.9	42.4	40.0	22.6	23.2	16.7	Missing	18.7	24.6	27.4	37.6	39.1	30.4	0.79	24.0	91.7
Abingdon	21.5	16.6	25.1	13.6	11.6	7.0	8.9	10.5	14.2	15.2	22.0	27.0	16.1	0.79	12.7	100.0

### Appendix 3: NO<sub>2</sub> monthly mean measured at diffusion tubes sites 2012